

11.05.2026

Item no.30

Subrata

Bhattacharyya

AR(C)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION

Appellate Side

WPA No.1435 of 2026

Sk Arman

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Sabyasachi Chatterjee

Mr. Kiron Sk.

...for the petitioner

Ms. Rashmi Bothra

Ms. Priti Jain

...for Union of India

1. The petitioner participated for recruitment as Constable-GD in Central Armed Police Forces.
2. The Review Medical Board declared the petitioner medically unfit due to Scolosis.
3. It has been submitted that the petitioner participated in the same examination in the year 2024. The defect could not be detected at that point of time.
4. Thereafter, the petitioner got himself medically examined in State Government hospital and obtained a fit certificate in his favour.
5. The petitioner is aggrieved by the manner in which he has been medically examined by the Detailed Medical Examination Board and the Review Medical Examination Board.
6. Prayer has been made to reevaluate and reexamine the physical fitness of the petitioner by an independent medical board.
7. Submission and prayer of the petitioner is opposed by the learned advocate representing the respondents.
8. Submission of the petitioner that the defect which was found in the present medical test

ought not to be considered and the report of the earlier medical test has to be considered cannot be accepted by the Court. The defect that was detected on the relevant date and time of the examination is to be considered. Any report of the previous year cannot be directed to be considered for ascertaining the physical health of a candidate participating for recruitment in CAPF.

9. As per the Recruitment Rules, the decision of the Review Medical Board is final and no appeal can be entertained.

10. As it appears that the expert doctors of the recruiting authority detected the aforementioned defect in the petitioner which is a ground for disqualification of candidature, accordingly, the Court is not inclined to interfere with the said finding.

11. As the petitioner participated for recruitment in the Central Armed Police Force, the medical certificate relied upon by the petitioner obtained from the State Government Hospital in support of the submission that he does not suffer from any such defect, cannot be accepted.

12. The standard of fitness of a civilian is not the same as required in the armed forces where strict and stringent methods to assess physical fitness are resorted to.

13. In view of the above, no relief can be granted to the petitioner in the instant writ petition. The writ petition fails and is hereby dismissed.

14. Report of the respondents filed in Court be taken on record.

15. Parties to act on the basis of the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

16. Certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties.

[Amrita Sinha, J.]