

09.02.2026
Sl. No.07
NB

CRM (A) 241 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Usthi PS Case No.24/2026 dated 12.01.2026 under Sections 126(2)/117(2)/109/351(3)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023 and Section 25(1)(a) of Arms Act.

And

In the matter of: Murshed Sk @ Mursid Uddin Sekh & Ors.
... petitioners

Mr. Kallol Kumar Basu,
Mr. Rajesh Naskar,
Md. Jannat ul Firdous,
Mr. Rajsekhar Hota.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Imran Ali,
Ms. Rita Datta.
..for the State.

Memo of evidence filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. There are case and counter case regarding fight between co-villagers. No grievous injury was suffered by anyone. Arms were recovered pursuant to the leading statement of a co-accused who was in custody. he has now been granted bail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the report, the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the petitioners had attacked the victims with arms and other weapons. Injuries were received by one of the victims. Reliance is placed on the FIR and the statement of other witnesses including the victims. Three of the petitioners namely the petitioner nos.1, 3 and 4 have criminal antecedent of similar nature.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)