

Item-
14. 30-01-2026

WPA 1382 of 2026

sg Ct. 19
Manik Halder
Versus
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Ranajoy Chatterjee
Mr. Tamal Singha Roy
...for the petitioner
Mr. Ayan Banerjee
Mr. Biman Halder
...for the State

Affidavit of service filed in Court is taken on record.

The petitioner claims to have submitted an application before the concerned Block Land & Land Reforms Officer for correction of record of rights in respect of the plots in question.

The learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that in spite of receipt of such application, the Block Land & Land Reforms Officer, has not taken any steps to correct the record of right.

Mr. Banerjee, learned Advocate appearing for the State, raises an objection to the maintainability of the writ petition in view of the alternative efficacious remedy available under the West Bengal Land Reforms and Tenancy Tribunal Act, 1997.

The petitioner has alleged inaction on the part of the authority under the West Bengal Land Reforms Act, 1955, which is a specified Act under Section 2(r) of the West Bengal Land Reforms and Tenancy Tribunal Act, 1997.

In view of the alternative efficacious remedy available under the 1997 Act, this Court is not inclined to

entertain this writ petition.

Faced with such situation, the learned Advocate for the petitioner prays for leave to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to approach the appropriate forum.

Such prayer is not opposed by Mr. Banerjee.

In the light of the submission made by the learned Advocate for the petitioner, WPA 1382 of 2026 stands dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to approach before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

It is, however, made clear that this Court has not gone into the merits of the claim made in application filed by the petitioner.

All points are left open to be decided by the appropriate forum.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)