

05.02.2026
Sl. No.78
NB

CRM (A) 231 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kharagpur (Town) PS Case No.378 of 2025 dated 13.08.2025 under Sections 126(2)/115(2)/117(2)109(1)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Rekha Hansda

... petitioner

Mr. Siddhartha Sarkar.
...for the petitioner.

Ms. Baisali Basu,
Mr. Sourath Nandy.
..for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. When the de facto complainant's minor son was playing with the minor son of the petitioner, an altercation ensued. The petitioner's minor son also received severe injuries.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the ball with which the 10 year old victim boy was playing fell into the house of the petitioner. The petitioner and other inmates came and severely assaulted the child with iron rod and bamboo sticks. The injury report reveals that there was jejunal perforation due to blunt trauma in the abdomen. The victim boy's lever was damaged so badly that he cannot even walk properly.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)