

05.02.2026
Sl. No.77
NB

CRM (A) 230 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Bhagwangola PS Case No.423 of 2024 dated 18.08.2024 under Sections 117(2)/115(2)/126(2)/109/303(2)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Nur Hossain. & Ors.

... petitioners

Mr. Mrityunjoy Chatterjee,
Ms. Suchismita Chakraborty,
Mr. Arka Roy.

...for the petitioners.

Mr. Bibaswan Bhattacharya,
Ms. Srilekha Chattopadhyay.
..for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. The petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner no.3 was named in the FIR. But, the rest were not. However, they have all been named in the charge sheet.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the case diary and the statements of eye-witnesses recorded before a learned Magistrate. The petitioners have been implicated in such statements.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)

