

28/01
2026

AD-07/24
266312
NANDY

(DISMISSED)

In the High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

WPA 1093 of 2026

ALOK KUMAR VERMA
Vs.
THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Mr. Partha Chakraborty, Advocate	
Mr. Rishab Dutta, Advocate	for the Petitioner
Ms. Deboleena Ghosh, Advocate	
Mr. S. Bandopadhyay, Advocate	
Mr. A.K. Nag, Advocate	for the WBMCC
Ms. Rama Halder, Advocate	
Ms. Joweria Rusana, Advocate	for the State
Mr. D.N. Maiti, Advocate	
Mr. A. Santra, Advocate	for the WBUHS
Mr. Sunit Kumar Roy, Advocate	for the NMC

1. The petitioner was allotted a seat in the Raniganj Institute of Medical Science as a successful candidate in the NEET-UG-2025. The allotted seat was under the quota of private management.
2. The petitioner had made an initial payment of Rs.5 lakhs which is not disputed. However, the petitioner was unable to pay a further sum of Rs.20 lakhs and also failed to report to the allotted medical college within the stipulated period as mentioned in the counseling schedule. The stipulated date in the counseling schedule for reporting was 27.12.2025.
3. Since the petitioner failed to report, the seat allotted to the petitioner, was cancelled and was thereafter filled up.
4. Mr. Chakraborty, learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner has urged that the intention of the petitioner, as can be culled out, from the fact that he had made payment of Rs.5 lakhs, was to join the college. However, since the petitioner was from Patna, he was unable to do so.

5. Ms. Ghosh, learned Advocate appearing for the respondent no. 3 submits that presently there is no seat under the private management quota available in the said college and in order to accommodate the petitioner, if at all, a seat from a different quota for which the petitioner had not applied, would have to be given. This is impermissible.
6. Mr. Roy, learned Advocate representing the respondent no. 7, submits that the payment was made pursuant to a decision taken during the counseling round, to ensure that the seats are not blocked by the successful candidates, in search of a better college.
7. It is not in dispute that the last date for admission has already expired on 31.12.2025. The petitioner has approached this Court only on 16.01.2026.
8. There are no seats under the private management quota available with the college, in which the petitioner could have been accommodated.
9. In these circumstances as afore-stated, the relief prayed for by the petitioner, cannot be granted.
10. Accordingly, **WPA 1093 of 2026 is dismissed.** No order as to costs.

(Reetobroto Kumar Mitra, J.)

