

06.02.2026
Court No.28
Item No.26
ssi

CRM (A) 221 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Raghunathganj Police Station Case No. 449 of 2025 dated **09.04.2025** under Sections 191 (2) (3)/190/326/221/132/121(1) (2)/326 (f)/ 304 (2)/ 109/ 110 of the BNS 2023 read with Section 4 of Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act and Section 8 B of the National Highways Act.

And

In the matter of: **Niyamot Sk @ Niamat Sk**

.... Petitioner

Mr. Santanu Talukdar
Mr. Priyankar Ganguly
Ms. Shalini Bairagi

...for the petitioner

Mr. Sanjoy Bardhan
Mr. Subhajit Chowdhury

..for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that several persons were made accused in the aftermath of what happened during the Waqf Act agitation. The present petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that there are CCTV footages to show that the petitioner was causing damage to public property and had taken away a pistol from the SDPO, Jangipur. Reliance is placed on the statements of the SDPO, Jangipur and the photographs.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)