

10.02.2026

Item No.20

Ct.No.35

dc.

Rejected

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M. (M) 176 of 2026

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 corresponding to Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 filed in connection with Singur Police Station Case No. 273 of 2024 dated 26.06.2024 under Section 394 of the Indian Penal Code and charge-sheet submitted under Sections 394/395/397/412 of the Indian Penal Code (G.R. Case No. 1202/2024).

And

In Re : **Sk Suraj @ Raja**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Bitasok Banerjee,
Mr. Abdus Salam

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Partha Pratim Das,
Ms. Jonaki Saha

... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for about 1 year 7 months and only 9 witnesses have been examined out of the cited 21 witnesses.

Learned advocate appearing for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there were specific recoveries from the house of the petitioner and there are other accused persons.

State is granted three months' time from the next date so fixed and the learned Trial Court would fix two schedules in a month consisting of three dates. In case a witness appears before the court, the examination-in-chief and

cross-examination of the said witness should be completed on the date, the witness appears before the court.

At this stage, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

Petitioner would renew his prayer for bail after the aforesaid period is over.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 176 of 2026, is, thus, disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)