

06.02.2026

Court No.35.

D/L.70.

Rakib

(Rejected)

CRM (M) 168 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/Under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kulti Police Station case no. 490 of 2025 dated 19.08.2025 under Sections 332/103/238/61(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of : Pankaj Nunia @ Pankaj Chaturi Nuniya.

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Ayan Basu
Mr. Sk Salim
Mr. Sumit Routh

.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Subhamoy Bhattacharya
Ms. Rajashree Tah

.....for the State.

Mr. Kunal Ganguly

.....for the de-facto complainant.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody for 134 days and charge-sheet has already been submitted and the petitioner is similarly placed as the said accused person who has been granted bail in CRM (M) 2662 of 2025.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and draws the attention to the statement of the wife of the deceased.

Learned advocate for the de-facto complainant is present and opposes the prayer for bail.

I have taken into account the complicity of the present petitioner, the fact which has been brought to the notice of the Court that for other accused persons the case could not proceed.

Having regard to the same, I am not inclined to release the present petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner in CRM (M) 168 of 2026 is **dismissed**.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)