

AD 18
February 18, 2026
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 212 of 2026

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Samsheganj P.S. Case No.1010 of 2025 dated 26.10.2025 under Sections 115(2)/117(2)/118(2)/126(2)/109(1)/3(5) of the BNS.

And

In the matter of: *Imam Reja @ Tulu Sk. and another*
... petitioners

Mr. Mrityunjoy Chatterjee
Ms. Suchismita Chakraborty
Ms. Nazia Parveen
Mr. P.R. Sharma

... for the petitioners

Mr. Anupam Dasadhikari
Mr. Mainak Gupta

... for the State

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are not the principal accused in this case. The two accused, who are specifically named by the victim in his statement, were granted bail after a few days of custody.

Learned counsel for the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail, refers to the injury report and the NCCT of face.

The NCCT of face reveals fracture with associated changes as described in the report. While a victim specifically stated about two particular blows given by the other co-accused, it is also his case that all the accused had surrendered him and attacked him with weapons. There was also an assault on the lower part of the body, though no

particular name was mentioned in this regard. On the other hand, as per the statement of the eyewitness, all the accused had actively participated in the assault on the victim.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Presence of the investigating officer is noted and is dispensed with.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)