

16.02.2026
Serial no. 26
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 165 of 2026

In re : An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with Boro Police Station Case No. 54 of 2025 dated 01.08.2025 u/s Section 6 of the POCSO Act, 2012.
-And-

In the matter of : Dilip Kumbhakar

... Petitioner(s)

Mr. Sumanta Chakraborty
Mr. Sukhendu Bikash Mukherjee
Ms. Tanisha Parua

... for the Petitioner(s)

Ms. Manisha Sharma, Jr. Govt. Adv.
Ms. Singdha Saha

... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody from 2nd August, 2025 and has been falsely implicated in connection with the instant case.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the accused is aged about 38 years. Learned advocate also states that the victim became pregnant and gave birth to a child.

Leaned advocate for the petitioner rebutting such contentions submits that already DNA profile has been sent by the investigating agency.

Having considered the nature of the offence reported and the steps taken by the police authorities, at this stage, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is
Rejected.

Accordingly, CRM(M) 165 of 2026 is **dismissed.**

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Report submitted be kept with the record.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)