

05.02.2026
Sl. No.61
NB

CRM (A) 209 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kaliachak PS Case No.2092 of 2025 dated 23.12.2025 under Sections 21(c)/27A/29 of the NDPS Act.

And

In the matter of: Parikshit Mandal

... petitioner

Mr. Arup Kumar Bhowmick.

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Saibal Bapuli,

Mr. Kunal Ganguly.

..for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that, other than the statement of a co-accused, there are no other incriminating materials available against the present petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the report, which is taken on record, and the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that in addition to the statement of a co-accused, there are phone call records between the petitioner and the principal accused, including during the relevant period.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the restriction contained in Section 37 of the NDPS Act, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)

