

21 **06.04.
2026**

Ct. No. 24

Ab

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE.**

WPA 1165 of 2026

**Bidhan Chandra Mondal
Vs.
The State of West Bengal and others.**

**Mr. Mrityunjoy Chatterjee,
Mr. Manas Das,
Mrs. Suchismita Chakraborty.
... for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay,
Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharya,
Ms. Pramiti Bandopadhyay,
Mr. Arka Kumar Nag,
Mr. Rahul Kumar Singh.
... for the WBCSSC.**

1. The affidavit of service filed today is taken on record.
2. The petitioner has been working since 2012 as a Group-D employee and has acquired sufficient experience. He has now participated in the 2nd State Level Selection Test, 2025 (SLST) and is aggrieved that his prior experience working in the Group-D post has not been considered while engaging/recommending him/considering him for the post of Assistant Teacher in a school.
3. It appears from the result that the petitioner has been unable to obtain requisite marks and the question of considering his prior experience as a Group-D employee while considering him for a post

of Assistant Teacher is beyond comprehension.

4. The prior experience has an inbuilt rider that the experience has to be in the same field of work. Prior experience as a Group-D employee can under no circumstances be considered for granting any marks to a candidate for the post of Assistant Teacher, in the column of "Prior Experience".
5. In the circumstances afore-stated, the writ petition is nothing but a chance taken by the petitioner and is, accordingly, dismissed.
6. There shall, however, be no order as to costs.
7. Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties on priority basis.

(Reetobroto Kumar Mitra, J.)