

01.04.2026
Item Nos.1 & 2
Ct. No.1
PG

F.M.A. 718 of 2019
With
I.A. No. CAN 1 of 2019 (Old CAN 331 of 2019)
Shri Kumar Mukhopadhyay
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors..
with
F.M.A. 719 of 2019
With
I.A. No. CAN 1 of 2019 (Old CAN 332 of 2019).
Shri Kumar Mukhopadhyay
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Anshunath Chakraborty
....for the appellant

Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya
Mr. Nilay Baran Mandal.....for the respondent
Nos. 4, 5 & 6 in
F.M.A. 718 of 2019

Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya
Mr. Nilay Baran Mandal.....for the respondent
Nos. 4, 5 & 6 in
F.M.A. 719 of 2019

DICTATED BY PARTHA SARATHI SEN, J.:

1. Parties are represented through their respective learned counsel.
2. The instant matters are listed today under the heading 'To Be Mentioned' since a typographical error occurred in the 1st line of paragraph 10 of the order dated 20.03.2026.

3. It is pointed out at the Bar that the affidavit in opposition should be filed by the respondent and not by the petitioner.
4. The typographical error, as pointed out in the 1st line of paragraph 10 of the said order dated 20.03.2026 is apparent on the face of record and the same is corrected in the following manner:

The word **‘Petitioner’** as appearing in the 1st line of paragraph 10 of the order dated 20.03.2026 be deleted and in its place the word **‘Respondent’** be inserted.

5. The department is directed to carry out necessary correction in the said order dated 20.03.2026 in terms of this order.
6. Let this order be treated as part of the order dated 20.03.2026.

(SUJOY PAUL, CJ.)

(PARTHA SARATHI SEN, J.)