

M/L 64
06.01.2026
sb
Ct.3.

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

WPA 632 of 2025

Bibhash Hira
Versus
Bidhannagar Municipal Corporation & Ors.

Mr. Goutam Misra
Mr. Vaskar Pal
... For the petitioner.

Mr. Sirsanya Bandopadhyay
Mr. Tirthankar Dey
Mr. Arka Kumar Nag
... For Bidhannagar Municipal Corporation

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court is taken on record. Despite service, the private respondents are not represented.
2. Complaining illegal construction at the behest of the private respondents and the failure on the part of the municipal authorities to take appropriate steps, the instant writ petition has been filed.
3. Learned advocate representing the municipality would, however, submit, on instruction, that on the basis of the complaint made by the petitioner on 25th November, 2024, steps have already been taken by the municipal authorities and the complaint is being enquired into. Although, the learned advocate for the municipality could not enlighten this Court as regards the outcome of such enquiry, I am of the view, instead of keeping the writ petition pending it would be appropriate to direct the municipal authorities to decide on the petitioner's

complaint, if the same has not been disposed of, by giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned and by passing a reasoned order.

4. If, on the basis of the petitioner's complaint, the municipal authorities find that any illegal construction has come up, appropriate steps should be taken under Section 266 of the West Bengal Municipal Corporation Act, 2006 and such proceedings must be brought to a logical conclusion.

5. Needless to note that the order so passed by the municipal authorities shall be supplied to the parties.

6. With the above observations and directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for be given to the parties upon compliance with the requisite formalities.

(Raja Basu Chowdhury, J.)