

WPA 666 of 2026

Rina Nandy @ Rina Adhikari (Nee Nandy)
Vs.
The State of W. B. & Ors.

Mr. Bhaskar Chakraborty
Ms. Sulagna Sen
Mr. Subrata Mukherjee
Mr. Surajit Maity ...for the petitioner.

Md. Mansoor Alam
Mr. Mustafijur Rahaman ...for the State.

Mr. Rabi Sankar Chattopadhyay
...for the private respondents.

Report submitted by the State is taken on record.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

The prayer of the petitioner is two-fold. First, return of her daughter. Second, steps to be taken pursuant to the complaint lodged by her against the 5th respondent on 12th October, 2025.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the daughter of the petitioner has been handed over to her a couple of days back.

It appears that several criminal proceedings are pending between the petitioner and the 5th respondent. Complaints lodged by the petitioner have been registered as FIR and final report submitted upon completion of investigation. However, in the event any of the complaints lodged by the petitioner has not been acted upon by the police authority, the petitioner shall be at liberty to

approach the jurisdictional Magistrate under Section 175 of the BNSS for redressal of her grievance.

In the meantime, the police authority shall keep strict vigil in the locality in order to avoid any untoward incident and ensure maintenance of peace and tranquility. The police shall render necessary assistance to the petitioner as and when sought.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit is invited, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)