

05.02.2026

Item No.11

Ct.No.35

dc.

Rejected

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M. (M) 110 of 2026

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Kaliganj Police Station Case No. 449 of 2024 dated 02.06.2024 under Sections 341/143/302 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act.

And

In Re : **Kasem Sk @ Kashem Sk.**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Sandip Chakraborty,
Mr. Balaram Dutta,
Mr. Kaustav Das

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Bitasok Banerjee,
Mr. Debanik Das

... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 1 year 6 months and there are hardly any materials to connect the petitioner with the alleged offence. Some of the co-accused persons have been released on bail.

Earlier case diary was retained. I have considered the materials appearing in the case diary. Petitioner is not similarly situated as the other accused persons who have been granted bail. The examination-in-chief of six witnesses have been completed and the cross-examination has been deferred.

Having regard to the complicity of the present petitioner so far as the offence is concerned, I am not

inclined to release the petitioner on bail. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

Report submitted by the learned advocate appearing for the State be kept with the record.

Case diary be returned to learned advocate for the State.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 110 of 2026, is, thus, dismissed.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)