

S/L 23
17.03.2026
Court No.18
SD

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION

WPA 538 of 2026

Nayan Naskar

Vs.

The Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Subhankar Bose

...for the Petitioner.

Mrs. Chandreyi Alam

Ms. Runu Mukherjee

...for the Union of India.

1. The petitioner participated in the recruitment examination in CAPF. He was found medically unfit by the Detailed Medical Examination Board on account of high blood pressure and tachycardia. The Review Medical Examination Board found the petitioner to be unfit on account of hypertension and trivial tricuspid regurgitation. The blood pressure of the petitioner has been recorded in the review medical examination report.

2. It has been submitted that the report of the DME and RME are contradictory to each other. The petitioner got himself examined by the State Government hospital where high blood pressure was not detected.

3. The petitioner prays for conducting re-evaluation/re-examination of his health.

4. The DIG (PSO) has filed a report before this Court signed on February 24, 2026.

5. It appears therefrom that the petitioner was provided an opportunity to seek review of the medical

examination report provided by the Detailed Medical Examination Board. The review medical examination was conducted by the Board comprising of three medical officers. The blood pressure of the petitioner was recorded at sufficient intervals. The candidate was also sent to the JNM Hospital, Kalyani and Theism Diagnostic, Kolkata for specialist opinion and investigation report.

6. The Board found that the echocardiography report of the candidate has trivial tricuspid regurgitation which was the ground for rejection. The detailed and the review medical examination were conducted in accordance with the provisions of the uniform guidelines for recruitment medical examination.

7. The respondent authority has mentioned that the principles that apply in the case of recruitment to the disciplined forces involved with safety and security, internal and external, such as paramilitary forces are distinct and different from those applying to normal civilian recruitment. The standard of fitness and the rigour of the physical examination is higher and stricter in case of disciplined forces.

8. As it appears that the expert doctors of the recruiting authority detected the aforementioned defects in the petitioner which are grounds for disqualification of candidature, accordingly, the Court is not inclined to interfere with the said findings.

9. The writ petition fails and is hereby dismissed.
10. Parties to act on the basis of the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.
11. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)