

03.02.2026
Serial no. 26
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 101 of 2026

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with **Nimta Police Station Case No. 298 of 2024 dt. 03.10.2024 u/s 103(1)/61(2) of the BNS, 2023.**
-And-

In the matter of : Saima Bibi

... Petitioner(s)

Mr. Sourav Mondal
Mr. S. Chowdhury
Mr. Arijit Bhuniya
Mr. Rony Mondal

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Partha Pratim Das
Ms. Ratna Ghosh

... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for a considerable period of time and is similarly situated as the other accused persons who have been granted bail.

Learned advocate for the State, on the other hand, draws the attention of the court to the statement of the witnesses particularly that of the child and it seems that the present petitioner is not similarly situated as the other accused persons who have been granted bail.

Additionally, it has been submitted that majority of the witnesses have already been examined and few more witnesses are to be examined by the prosecution.

Having considered the stage of the case; complicity of the present petitioner and the fact that the petitioner is not enjoying the parity as the other accused persons who have been granted bail, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **Rejected.**

Accordingly, CRM(M) 101 of 2026 is **dismissed.**

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)