

03.02.2026
Serial no. 25
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 100 of 2026

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with **S. T. (Spl) 37/2022 corresponding to S.C. (Spl) 42 of 2022 arising out of Pandua PS Case No. 462 of 2022 dated 06.10.2022.**

-And-

In the matter of : Abhijit Dhar @ Poku @ Patai

... Petitioner(s)

Mr. Arindam Sen
Mr. Saurav Basu
Ms. Payel Maji
Mr. Sumik Biswas

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Prasun Kumar Dutta
Mr. Ashok Das

... for the State-respondent(s)

Mr. Manjit Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sk. Sahid Hussain
Mr. Biswajit Mal

... for the defacto-complainant

Affidavit-of-service filed be kept with the record.

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was initially granted bail but subsequently cancelled by this Hon'ble Court and is in custody for more than 847 days.

Learned advocate for the State submits that out of the 22 witnesses, 17 witnesses have already been examined.

Learned advocate for the defacto-complainant also opposes the prayer for bail.

Having considered that the case is at the final stage, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **Rejected.**

However, the learned trial court would put in efforts to conclude the prosecution witnesses within a period of 90 days from the date of communication of this order..

Accordingly, CRM(M) 100 of 2026 is **dismissed.**

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)