

16.02.2026

Court No.35.

D/L. 21.

Kausik

(Rejected)

CRM (M) 97 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/ Section 439 of the Code of
Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Tehatta P.S. Case
No. 770 of 2025 dated 01.10.2025 under Sections 64 of BNS,
2023.

And

In the matter of : Gopal Sikdar

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Jaydeep Biswas
Mr. Asraf Mondal
Mr. Kaushik Ghosh

.....for the Petitioner.

Ms. Sparshamoni Saha Podder

....for the Defacto Complainant.

Mr. Soumik Ganguly
Mr. Debanik Das

.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits
that petitioner is in custody for 138 days and till date charges
have not been framed. As such the petitioner is unnecessarily
detained in custody.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for
bail, produces the statement of the victim under section 164 of
the Code of Criminal Procedure as well as the medical
documents.

Learned advocate for the defacto complainant is present and opposes the prayer for bail.

I have taken into account the statement of the victim before the learned Magistrate as well as the Medico Legal Examination and on assessment of the same, I am of the view that this is not a fit case for granting bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner in CRM(M) 97 of 2026 is **dismissed**.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)