

30.01.2026

Sl. No. DL 47
Court No. 35

ALLOWED

Asraf, A.R.(Ct.)

In the High Court at Calcutta

Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction

Appellate Side

Case No.

CRM (M) 88 of 2026

In Re : An application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 corresponding to Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 filed in connection with Santiniketan Police Station case no.153 of 2025 dated 22.04.2025 under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

-AND-

In the matter of :

GOPAL MONDAL @ DEB GOPAL MONDAL & ANR.

.....Petitioners

For the Petitioner :

Mr. Uday Sankar Chattopadhyay

Ms. Sadia Parveen

Ms. Bidisha Chakraborty

...Advocates

For the State :

Mr. Saibal Bapuli, Addl. Public Prosecutor

Mr. Atif Ahmed Siddiqui

...Advocates

1. Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are similarly situated as co-accused, namely, Swapan Roy, Monojit Debanshi, Raj Roy Bhuiyan and Bibekananda Debanshi who have been granted bail by the learned Sessions Judge. Learned advocate for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners are in custody for more than two and half months.
2. Learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail but is unable to distinguish the locus of the

present petitioners with the co-accused in connection with the instant case.

3. Having considered the overall circumstances of the case and the period of detention, I am of the opinion that further custodial detention is unwarranted at this stage.
4. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioners is **allowed**.
5. As such, each of the petitioners shall furnish bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, one of whom must be local to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bolpur, Birbhum.
6. If on bail, the petitioners shall be physically present on each and every date before the learned Trial Court in seisin of the case. The petitioners shall not leave the jurisdiction of the district of Birbhum without prior permission of the learned Trial Court.
7. Accordingly, **CRM(M) 88 of 2026** is **disposed of**.
8. Case diary be returned to the learned advocate appearing for the State.
9. All parties shall act on the basis of server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.
10. Urgent photostat certified copies of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance of all necessary formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)