

D/L17
07.04.2026

Bpg.
Dismissed

C.R.M. (M) 95 of 2026

In Re: An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Eco Park Police Station Case No.261 of 2021 dated 24.09.2021 under Sections 376/376A/328 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860;

Sayan Singha Babu
Versus
The State of West Bengal & Anr.

Mr. Anand Keshri
Mr. Somnath Bandhopadhyay
Mr. Sekhar Mukherjee
Mr. Subhajit Mukherjee
Mr. Partha Ghosh.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Arijit Ganguly
Mr. Debarshi Brahma.
...for the State

Mr. Apalak Basu
Mr. Nazir Ahmed.
...for the de facto complainant/victim.

Petitioner prays for bail on the ground that the petitioner is in custody for 4 years 6 months.

Learned advocate for the State submits that 21 witnesses have already been examined and the prosecution intends to examine 3 more witnesses in support of its case.

Learned advocate for the de facto complainant is present and opposes the prayer for bail.

Having considered the advanced stage of the case, I am not inclined to release the petitioner on bail. Learned trial court would ensure that within a reasonable period of time the evidence of

the prosecution witnesses are concluded. Learned trial court would give priority to the present case as the petitioner is in custody for 4 years 6 months. Needless to state that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the learned trial court will independently arrive at its conclusion.

Accordingly, CRM(M)95 of 2026 is dismissed.

Report submitted by the State be kept with the record.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)