

02.02.2026
Sl. No.57
NB

CRM (A) 97 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Shyampur PS Case No.591/2025 dated 28.10.2025 under Sections 126(2)/118(2)/109/303(2)/351(3)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Sk. Maidul Islam

... petitioner

Ms. Minoti Gomes,
Mr. Asfak Ahammed.

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Saryati Datta,
Ms. Dhanasree Biswas..

..for the State.

Ms. Subhasish Pachhal

...for the de facto complainant.

Heard the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the de facto complainant and the State.

Perused the case diary.

Considering the serious nature of injuries inflicted on vital parts of the body like scalp noted to be grievous in nature in the injury report, the statements of victims and witnesses implicating the present petitioner and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)

