

27.02.2026

Court No.39

DL/Item No.**26**

[Milan, A.R. (Ct)]

**In the High Court at Calcutta
Civil Appellate Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

SA 1171 of 1968

With

**CAN/1/1997(Old No:CAN/47/1997)
CAN/2/1998(Old No:CAN/9040/1998)
CAN/3/1999(Old No:CAN/1831/1999)
CAN/4/2004(Old No:CAN/3914/2004)**

AKSHOY KUMAR MONDAL & ORS.

VS

SASI BHUSAN MANDAL

Despite direction for service of administrative notice neither the appellants nor has the respondent appeared before the Court when the matter was called on for several times.

On perusal of the note given by the Department, it appears that the administrative notices were dispatched to the appellants and the respondents at their addresses as stated in the cause title of the second appeal.

The postal articles dispatched to the appellants/ respondents appear to have been received by them and the postal articles dispatched to the respondents have returned back with an endorsement "Refused". Undelivered postal articles dispatched to the appellants and the respondents have been returned back with an

endorsement “Addressee left without instruction” or “No such person in this address” or “Not found”.

The service attempted on the recorded advocates has also been fruitless for insufficient particulars.

In the aforesaid facts and circumstances, as no one has turned up either to represent the appellants or respondents, though the matter has been appearing in the list, the matter is dismissed along with the connected applications considering the fact that with the passage of time no one is interested to either proceed or oppose the matter.

Let the records of the Trial Court and the First Appellate Court, if any, are lying in this Court, be returned to the appropriate Court with a copy of this order.

(Arindam Mukherjee, J.)