

03.02.2026

Item No.12

Ct.No.35

dc.

Rejected

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION

C.R.M. (M) 62 of 2026

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Pragati Maidan Police Station Case No. 22 of 2025 dated 31.01.2025 under Sections 109(1)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In Re : **Wasim Akram**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Syed Shahid Imam,
Mr. Tanvir Alam,
Md. Sarfaraz

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Shiladitya Banerjee,
Mr. Md. Ejaj Akhtar

... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that majority of the witnesses have become hostile in the present case and there is hardly any role of the present petitioner who was a driver and was only present at the place of occurrence.

Learned advocate appearing for the State has produced the case diary and evidence of all the witnesses who have been examined has also been produced.

Having considered the evidence which has already surfaced in the present case, I am of the view that whole of the evidence of the hostile witnesses cannot be thrown away in this case. Having considered the same including the gravity of the offence, I am not inclined to release the

petitioner on bail at this stage. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

Case diary be returned to the learned advocate appearing for the State.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 62 of 2026, is, thus, dismissed.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)