

Item-
14. 20-01-2026

WPA 697 of 2026

sg Ct. 19

Dilip Kumar Dey
Versus
The Block Land & Land Reforms Officer,
Bally, Jagacha Block, Howrah & Anr.

Mr. Srikanta Dutta
Mrs. Rituparna Sankar Dutta
...for the petitioner
Mr. Priyabrata Batabyal
...for the State

Affidavit of service filed in Court is taken on record.

The learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner
submits that respondent authorities have been duly served.

In spite of service, none appears on the behalf of the
respondents.

Mr. Priyabrata Batabyal, learned Advocate, who
usually appears for the State, is present in Court and is
requested to represent the State in this matter.

Let the appointment of Mr. Batabyal be regularized
by the competent authority.

The petitioner has filed this writ petition praying for
a direction upon the respondent authorities to correct the
record of rights in respect of the plots in question.

After going through the said representation, it
appears to this Court that the petitioner has alleged inaction
on the part of the authority under the West Bengal Land
Reforms Act, 1956, which is a specified Act under Section
2(r) of the West Bengal Land Reforms and Tenancy Tribunal
Act, 1997. This Court is not inclined to entertain this writ
petition in view of the alternative efficacious remedy

available under the 1997 Act.

Faced with such situation, the learned Advocate for the petitioner prays for leave to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to approach before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

In the light of the submission made by the learned Advocate for the petitioner, WPA 697 of 2026 stands dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to approach before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)