

M/L60
06.04.2026
Bpg.
Disposed
of

C.R.M.44 of 2021

In Re: An application for cancellation of bail under Section 439(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 filed in connection with Panchla Police Station Case No.249 of 2020 dated 13.10.2020 under Sections 341/325/376D of the Indian Penal Code;

Rojina Khatun

Versus

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Debajyoti Deb

Ms. Somdyuti Parekh

Mr. H.K. Jha.

...for the petitioner.

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the daughter of the victim is pursuing the case before the learned trial court as during the pendency of the present application, the victim expired. There are threats, according to the present petitioner, who is facing inconveniences in pursuing the litigation before the learned trial court.

As the subject matter of the challenge relates to an order of bail granted on 4th December, 2020, at this belated stage, I am not inclined to interfere with the order of bail. However, if the petitioner who is the daughter of the deceased is faced with inconveniences, she would inform the local police station as well as the learned trial court. Learned trial court would ensure regarding the safety and security of the daughter of the deceased. To that effect, if required, stringent conditions be imposed or bail be

cancelled of the accused who may be creating such circumstances.

With the aforesaid observations, CRM 44 of 2021 is disposed of.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)