

07.04.2026

Item No.15

Ct.No.35

dc.

Rejected

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M. (M) 48 of 2026

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Hanskhali Police Station Case No. 527 of 2024 dated 14.07.2024 under Sections 342/323/325/376(2)(n)/376D/376/511/384/354A/354B/354D/506/509/120B/411 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In Re : **Pabitra Halder**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Shibaji Kumar Das

... For the Petitioner.

Ms. Amita Gaur,
Ms. Afreen Begum

... For the State.

Mr. Saswata Bhattacharyya

... For the *de facto* complainant.

Memo of Evidence submitted by the learned advocate appearing for the State be kept with the record.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 1 year 9 months and till date, only three witnesses out of 13 witnesses have been examined. Further, petitioner is similarly situated as another accused person viz. Ramprasad Mondal who has been granted bail. As such, petitioner may be released on bail

Learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail and produces the case diary.

Learned advocate appearing for the *de facto* complainant also opposes the prayer for bail.

I have taken into account the statement of the victim lady recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The said statement completely distinguishes the locus of the said Ramprasad Mondal who has been granted bail and the present petitioner who is allegedly thickly connected with the core offence. Having regard to the gravity and heinousness of the offence, I am not inclined to release the petitioner on bail. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 48 of 2026, is, thus, dismissed.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)