

27.02.2026
Court No.39
DL/Item No.-9
[Milan, A.R. (Ct)]

**In the High Court at Calcutta
Civil Appellate Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

SA 359 of 1968

**THE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF HOWRAH
VS
FAKIR CH. BAG**

Despite direction for service of administrative notice neither the appellant nor has the respondent appeared before the Court when the matter was called on for several times.

On perusal of the note given by the Department, it appears that the administrative notices were dispatched to the appellant and the respondent at their addresses as stated in the cause title of the second appeal.

Neither the appellant nor the respondent has been found to be there in the address as indicated in the cause title.

The postal article dispatched to the appellant appears to have been received by him and no undelivered packet has been received back by the Department.

The undelivered packets containing the postal article dispatched to the respondent have been returned

back with an endorsement “No such person in this address/Deceased/Insufficient Address”.

The service attempted on the recorded advocates has also been fruitless for insufficient particulars.

In the aforesaid facts and circumstances, as no one has turned up either to represent the appellant or respondent, though the matter has been appearing in the list, the matter is dismissed considering the fact that with the passage of time no one is interested to either proceed or oppose the matter.

Let the records of the Trial Court and the First Appellate Court, if any, are lying in this Court, be returned to the appropriate Court with a copy of this order.

(Arindam Mukherjee, J.)