GAHC020008632026

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/273/2026

H. LONGNGO KONYAK
S/O HONGYING L. KONYAK, R/O NGANGCHING VILLAGE, MON, NAGALAND

VERSUS

DR. ZASEKUOLIE CHUSI, IAS AND 4 ORS
THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF NAGALAND, DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE, NAGALAND, KOHIMA

2:SHRI SANUZO NEINU
THE DIRECTOR
DIRECTORATE OF AGRICULTURE
GOVT. OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA
NAGALAND - 797001

3:SHRI P. KONGHAM PHOM
SUB DIVISIONAL AGRI OFFICER (SDAO)
OFFICE ABOI
MON
NAGALAND

4:SHRI WENNYIE KONYAK
NCS
THE PRESENT DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MON
NAGALAND

5:SHRI MOBA E.
C/O SUB DIVISIONAL AGRI OFFICER (SDAO)

NAGALAND

Advocate for the Petitioner : PAKINRICHAPBO,

Advocate for the Respondent : ,

Linked Case : COP(C)/41/2026

SHRI H. LONGNGO KONYAK
S/O HONGYING L. KONYAK
R/O NGANGCHING VILLAGE
MON
NAGALAND.

VERSUS

DR. ZASEKUOLIE CHUSI
IAS AND 5 ORS
THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF NAGALAND
DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

2:SHRI SANUZO NEINU
THE DIRECTOR
DIRECTORATE OF AGRICULTURE
GOVT. OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA
NAGALAND - 797001

3:SHRI P. KONGHAM PHOM
SUB DIVISIONAL AGRI OFFICER (SDAO)
OFFICE ABOI
MON
NAGALAND

4:SHRI WENNYIE KONYAK
NCS
THE PRESENT DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MON
NAGALAND

5:SHRI MOBA E.
C/O SUB DIVISIONAL AGRI OFFICER (SDAO)
NAGALAND.

Advocate for : PAKINRICHAPBO
Advocate for : appearing for DR. ZASEKUOLIE CHUSI
IAS AND 5 ORS

BEFORE
HONBLE MRS JUSTICE HELEN DAWNGLIANI

O R D E R

27.10.2026

Mr. Pakinrichapbo, learned counsel for the Applicant is present.

2. Respondent No. 4 is represented by Ms. Kim Pienyu.

3. By this interlocutory application a prayer has been made for deletion/omission of Respondent No. 5, namely Shri Moba E., C/o Sub-Divisional Agri Officer (SDAO), Nagaland from the array of parties in connection with COP(C) No. 41/2026.

4. The learned counsel for the Applicant submitted that the aforesaid Respondent was inadvertently and wrongly impleaded as Respondent and this Court, vide Order dated 06.10.2026 have permitted the Applicant/Petitioner to file necessary application for deletion of the aforesaid Respondent from the contempt proceeding in COP(C) No. 41/2026. Accordingly, the instant application was filed.

5. It is submitted that Respondent No. 5 is a private individual and has no official authority or responsibility or role in relation to the

implementation of the direction contained in the Order dated 19.03.2026 passed in WP(C) No. 164/2024, against which COP(C) No. 41/2026 has been filed. It is the case of the Applicant that the mistake was inadvertent and *bonafide* and there was no malafide intention on the part of the Applicant in arraying the aforesaid Respondent as party Respondent No. 5 to the contempt petition and has thus, prayed for deletion of the Respondent No. 5, namely Shri Moba E., C/o Sub-Divisional Agri Officer (SDAO), Nagaland from the array of parties in COP(C) No. 41/2026.

6. I have heard the learned counsels for the parties. I have perused the application and the Order of this Court dated 19.10.2026 allowing the party to file an application for deletion of Respondent No. 5 from the contempt petition.

7. From hearing the parties and materials available on record, I am satisfied with the submissions made therein. Accordingly, Respondent No. 5 is deleted as party Respondent to COP(C) No. 41/2025.

8. In view of the permission for deletion of Respondent No. 5, the learned counsel for the Applicant shall make necessary correction in the Cause Title.

9. With the above Order, the application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant