GAHC020006782026 2026:GAU-NL:596-DB

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH

Case No. : Review.Pet./4/2026

MR. KEVILETUO YIESE AND 61 ORS
S/O LATE SATUO YIESE
R/O SEIKHAZUO COLONY
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA
NAGALAND.

2: MR. T. ZELUOLIE KIRE
S/O DUOLIE KIRE
R/O SEIKHAZOU
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

3: MR. KEZEVITUO KERETSU
S/O LATE THEPFULE-U
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

4: MR. RUOKUOSATO KERETSU
S/O KONHE KERETSU
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

5: MR. KHRIESAKUOLIE KIRE
S/O LATE ZELHOULIE KIRE
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

6: MR. NEILAZETUO KIRE
S/O LATE KELUOKIELIE KIRE
R/O SEIKHAZOU COLONY
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

7: MR KENEILETUO KIRE
S/O LATE NEILASAKUOLIE KIRE
R/O LOWER SEIKHAZOU COLONY
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

8: MR. LHOULABEITUO KHEZHIE
S/O LATE ZELHOULIE KHEZHIE
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

9: MR. MESEKHRIETUO KHEZHIE
S/O LATE AVIU KHEZHIE
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

10: MR. VIBEIZOTUO KEDITSU
S/O VITSU KEDITSU
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

11: MR. PEKRUVOTSO KIRE
S/O LATE VILHOUTSO KIRE
R/O SEIKHAZOU COLONY
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

12: MR. KEDUONEIU SACHU
S/O LATE B.KHRIEZOTUO SACHU
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

13: MR DIETHOSELHOU SACHU
S/O LATED SACHU

D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

14: ER. V NEIBA PIENYU
S/O LATE KETSEIU PEINYU
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

15: MR RUOKUOVI KEDITSU
S/O LATE NEILASAKUOLIE KIRE
R/O SEIKHAZOU COLONY
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

16: MR KENEILHOULIE KEDITSU
S/O LATE NEINGULIE KEDITSU
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

17: MR. KO-O KEDITSU
S/O LATE RHICHA
R/O SEIKHAZOU COLONY
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

18: MR METSEI PIENYU
S/O LATE KEMIERHU PIENYU
R/O SEIKHAZOU COLONY
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

19: PIENYU CLAN
REPRESENTED BY MR. METSEI PIENYU
S/O KEMIERHU
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

20: DR. LHOUTSOULIE KUOTSU
S/O LATE KUOLHOU
R/O SEIKHAZOU COLONY
D KHEL

KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

21: MR VITHALIETUO KIRE
S/O LATE VILHOUTSO KIRE
R/O SEIKHAZOU COLONY
D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

22: MR. RUOKUOSAZO SACHU
R/O SEIKHAZOU COLONY
D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

23: MR KIKRULHOULIE KHEZHIE
R/O SEIKHAZOU COLONY
D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

24: MR. KEVINGUZHU KIRE
R/O SEIKHAZOU COLONY
D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

25: MR KEDIINGULIE KERETSU
R/O LIRIE COLONY
KOHIMA NAGALAND

26: MR. MEDOKIETUO KERTSU
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

27: MR KERNEICHA PIENYU
D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

28: MR. KELUONGUZO KERETSU
D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

29: MR. CHUPFULHOU KIRE
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

30: MS NEITSEITUNUO KERETSU
R/O BASAZOU
D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

31: MR R.BEILIE SORHIE
R/O KITSUBOZOU
KOHIMA NAGALAND

32: MR KEKHRIENGULIE KHEZHIE
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

33: MR. METHANEILIE SUOKHRIE
R/O L-KHEL
KOHIMA NAGALAND
NAGALAND KOHIMA

34: MR. NEISEVOTUO SORHIE
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

35: DR. KEHOUSETUO KUOTSU
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

36: MR. RHEIZEZOLIE PIENYU
R/O LOWER CHANDMARI COLONY
KOHIMA NAGALAND

37: MR. THEJASETU KERETSU
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

38: MR. MIAZIEZOLIE KERETSU
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

39: MR NEIKUOZO KIRE
R/O NPHIECHA
D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

40: MS NEIKETUOZONUO KHEZHIE
R/O SEIKHAZOU COLONY
D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

41: MR VIZOVOTUO KEDITSU
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

42: MR RUOKUOVIU KIRE
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

43: KITSUOWHELIE KHEZHIE
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

44: MR. ZAKIEVOU SACHU
R/O KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

45: MR. VISANYU KIRE
R/O MISSION COMPOUND
KOHIMA NAGALAND

46: MR SIETUO KIRE
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

47: MR ALBERT KIRE
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

48: MR NEISAKUOLIE KIRE
R/O D. KHEL

KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

49: MR KETOUNEI KIRE
R/O KIREPFURO CHIENUO
D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

50: MR MEDOLEZO KIRE
R/O FOREST COLONY
NAGALAND KOHIMA

51: MR. VILASIELIE PIENYU
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

52: MR. SECHALIE KHEZHIE
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

53: MR NEIKEYIE KHEZHIE
R/O A.G. COLONY
NAGALAND KOHIMA

54: MR ZHAVITO RHUTSO
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

55: MR. SAVITUO KHEZHIE
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

56: MR RUOKUOBEIZO KIRE
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

57: MS VIKEZELIE KHEZHIE
R/O SEIKHAZOU COLONY
D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

58: MS KEVIRHEINUO KHEZHIE
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

59: MS ZENEISEI-U KIRE
R/O KITSUBOZOU COLONY
NAGALAND KOHIMA

60: MR. VIPHRELIE KERETSU
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

61: MR KIKRUKHRIELIE KIRE
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

62: MR KEKHRIESETUO KIRE
R/O D. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND
VERSUS

THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 7 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVT. OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY/SECRETARY
DEPT. OF LAND REVENUE AND RECORDS
GOVT. OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA NAGALAND

3:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY/SECRETARY (W AND H)
DEPT. OF WORKS AND HOUSING
GOVT. OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA NAGALAND

4:THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PWD (NATIONAL HIGHWAYS)
GOVT. OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA NAGALAND

5:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KOHIMA NAGALAND

6:THE UNION OF INDIA
THE SECRETARY
MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS
GOVT. OF INDIA
TRANSPORT BHAWAN 1 PARLIAMENT STREET
NEW DELHI-110001

7:THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (P)
NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED
R/O KOHIMA
PWD REST HOUSE
PWD COLONY
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

8:THE NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD.
MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS
GOVT. OF INDIA
3RD FLOOR PTI BUILDING 4
PARLIAMENT STREET NEW DELHI-110001 REPRESENTED BY THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (P). THE NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD. RO KOHIMA PWD COLONY
KOHIMA NAGALAND

Advocate for : A. ZHIMOMI
Advocate for : GOVT ADV NL appearing for THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 7 ORS

Linked Case : Review.Pet./3/2026

MR. MEDOSELHOU KERETSU AND 30 ORS
S/O LATE SIEVI KERETSU
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

2: MR. T ABAO KIRE
S/O DR. N.K. ANGAMI
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
DISTRICT
KOHIMA

NAGALAND.

3: MR. RAZOUPFHELIE KIRE
S/O LATE NEIHELHOU KIRE
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

4: MR. VILASELIE KERETSU
S/O LATE HELIE KERETSU
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

5: MR. ZAYIEKIETUO
S/O LATE WEZHU KIRE
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

6: MR. POTHITA PHESAP
S/O LATE VAMUZO
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

7: MR. SELIEHU KIRE
S/O LATE THEYIEKUOLIE KIRE
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA
NAGALAND.

8: MR. KETOUNEI KIRE
S/O LATE NEIHIE-O KIRE
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

9: MR. THEYIESITUO
S/O LATE ZNUOVILIE KUOTSU
D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE

NAGALAND
KOHIMA

10: DR. VIKETUOLIE PIENYU
S/O MR. K. PIENYU
R/O P. KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

11: MR. RUOKUOTUOLIE SORHIE
S/O LATE VIYELHOU SORHIE
R/O BAYAVU HILL
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

12: MR. RHEIZEZOLIE PIENYU
S/O MR. K. PIENYU
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

13: MR. KHRIEKUOLIE SACHU
S/O LATE TSOCHALIE SACHU
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

14: MR. ADIBOU SACHU
S/O MR. KHRIEKUOLIE SACHU
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

15: MRS. ZENEISEIU KIRE
S/O LATE ZAKIETUO KIRE
R/O KITSUBOZOU
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

16: DR. KIKRUKUOLIE KIRE
S/O LATE TSEILHOUZHU KIRE
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND

KOHIMA

17: MR. MOSELIE KUOTSU
S/O LATE LHOULETUO KUOTSU
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

18: MR. KHRIEKHETUO KIRE
S/O LATE NEILAZOTUO KIRE
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

19: MR. KENEILETUO KIRE
S/O LATE NEILASAKUOLIE KIRE
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

20: MR. SIETUO KIRE
S/O LATE LALHOULIE KIRE
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

21: MR. ZETSEILIE KIRE (DECEASED)
REPRESENTED BY HIS SON KEVISEDE KIRE
R/O DAKLANE
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

22: MR. THEPFULAKUO SACHU
S/O LATE KHRIEZOTUO SACHU R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

23: MR. KHRIEZOTUO SACHU (DECEASED)
REPRESENTED BY HIS SON THEPFULAKUO SACHU
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

24: MR. LHOUKHRIETUO KHEZHIE
S/O LATE KUOTHIE KHEZIE
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

25: MR. KEKHRIELEZO KIRE
S/O LATE KELHOUKHELIE KIRE
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

26: MR. VATSUMVU KIREKHA
S/O LATE ZETSOVI KIRE
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

27: MR. ZIEBOU KIRE
S/O LATE DR. N.K. ANGAMI
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

28: MR. KHRIEZOTUOLIE KIRE
S/O LATE DZIESEVITUO KIRE
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

29: MR. KESOSIELIE SACHU
S/O LATE ZIEKRO-O SACHU
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

30: MR. THEPFUNGUNYU YIESE
S/O MR. NEILAKUOLIE YIESE
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA

NAGALAND

31: MR. DZIESETUONYU KIRE
S/O LATE VINGUTUO KIRE
R/O D KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA
NAGALAND
VERSUS

THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 6 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVT. OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY/ SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF LAND REVENUE AND RECORDS
GOVT. OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

3:THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (NATIONAL HIGHWAYS)
GOVT. OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

4:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

5:THE UNION OF INDIA
THROUGH THE SECRETARY
MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS
GOVT. OF INDIA
TRANSPORT BHAVAN 1
PARLIAMENT STREET
NEW DELHI - 110001

6:THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (P)
NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LIMITED
R/O KOHIMA PWD REST HOUSE
PWD COLONY
KOHIMA
NAGALAND.

7:THE CHAIRMAN
DAPFHUTSUMIA COUNCIL KOHIMA VILLAGE
NAGALAND
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN

Advocate for : A. ZHIMOMI
Advocate for : GOVT ADV NL appearing for THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 6 ORS

BEFORE
HON'BLE MRS. SUSMITA PHUKAN KHAUND
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE YARENJUNGLA LONGKUMER

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. A. Zhimomi
Ms. Esther
Ms. Lhousino

Advocate for the Respondents : Ms. V. Suokhrie Addl. AG
Mr. A. Chusi.

Date on which judgment is reserved : 15.10.2026

Date of pronouncement of judgment : 03.11.2026

Whether the pronouncement is of the Operative part of the judgment ? : No

Whether full judgment has been pronounced ? : Yes

JUDGMENT & ORDER (CAV)

(Y.Longkumer,J)

By filing the instant Review Petition, the petitioners are praying for a limited review of the common judgment and order dated 05.06.2026 passed in W.A No. 7/2025 and W.A.No. 6/2025.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner Mr. A. Zhimomi Also heard Ms. V. Suokhrie, learned Addl. A.G. appearing for the State respondents and Mr. A. Chusi, learned counsel for the respondent number 5 and 6.

3. The applicants herein as the writ petitioners had filed WP(C) 216/2021 and W.P.(C) 50/2022 claiming compensation for the acquisition of their land by the respondents.

4. By a common judgment and order dated 29.11.2024, the learned Single Judge had held that the petitioners/applicants are entitled to just and fair compensation for the acquisition of their lands in terms of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act of 2013 (herein after referred to as the Act of 2013).

5. Aggrieved by the decision of the learned Single Judge, the State respondents had filed W.A No. 7/2025 and W.A.No. 6/2025. By judgment and order dated 05.05.2026, this court had disposed of both the writ appeals, upholding the decision of the learned Single Judge that the applicants are entitled to just and fair compensation in terms of the Act of 2013.

6. While disposing of the said appeals, this court discussed the submission of the parties and made certain observations. It is the submission of the learned counsel for the applicant that the State respondents are construing the said observations to mean that the Nagaland Land Requisition and Acquisition Act 1965 (herein after referred to as the Act of 1965) applies in the State of Nagaland and not the Act of 2013.

7. The learned counsel submits that in view of the observations of this court in paragraphs 59, 60, and 64 of the judgment, the applicants herein are praying for review of the said judgment and order dated 05.06.2026. The learned counsel submits that at paragraph 59 of the judgment, this court had agreed with the judgment and order dated 29.11.2023 passed in WPC 236/2017, Repasosang Ao vr. State of Nagaland which was a judgment per incuriam.

8. It is submitted that at paragraph 60 of the judgment also it was held that there is no doubt that the Act of 2013 and the National Highways Act of 1956 has not been adopted by the State of Nagaland. The learned counsel submits that it was argued by the applicants before the Court that there is no need to adopt the National Highways Act 1956 and the Act of 2013 as by virtue of section 26 of the State of Nagaland Act of 1962, there was no necessity to adopt the same as they are already in force. However, this submission was not considered.

9. As far as paragraph 64 of the judgment is concerned, the learned counsel for the applicants submits that this court had relied on the case of Naeem Bano @ Gaindo vs Mohammad Rahfes & Anr in SLP(C) No.16460 of 2023 and therefore this Court ought to have held that by the principle of implied repeal, the Act of 1965 has been replaced by the Act of 2013.

10. The learned counsel further submits that in view of the observations made in paragraphs 59, 60, and 64 which are errors of law apparent on the face of records, the said judgment and order dated 05.06.2026 in WA No. 6/2025 and WA No. 7/2025 may be reviewed and it may be declared that the Act of 2013 is applicable in the State of Nagaland and the State

respondents may be directed to acquire the land of the applicants in accordance with the procedure prescribed by the Act of 2013.

11. The learned Addl. A.G Ms. V. Suokhrie appearing for the State respondents submits that the applicants have not been able to make out a case for review in the instant case in as much as the grounds for review of a judgment are very narrow and limited, and a review cannot be an appeal in disguise. She submits that a party may seek review of a judgment only on the ground of: (i) discovery of new and important matters which, despite the exercise of due diligence, was not within the applicant's knowledge or could not be produced when the judgment was passed, (ii) mistake or error apparent on the face of the record, or (iii) any other sufficient reason.

12. The learned Addl A.G. submits that the review jurisdiction is corrective and not appellate. A judgment cannot be reviewed merely because another view on the facts or law is possible. The learned Addl. A.G therefore submits that there is no cause shown by the applicants in the instant review application for reviewing the judgment dated 05.06.2026, passed in WA No. 6/2025 and WA No. 7/2025.

13. We have carefully considered the submissions of the learned counsel for the review applicants and examined the pleadings as well as the judgment sought to be reviewed.

14. This Court certainly has the power to review its judgments under Article 226 read with Article 215 of the Constitution. The principles governing review are substantially similar to those applicable under Order 47 Rule 1 of the CPC.

15. The Supreme Court has clarified in a catena of judgments that the error apparent on the face of records must be self-evident and not one that requires a long drawn process of reasoning. The instances that may justify review depending on the circumstances may include: (i) An arithmetical or clerical mistake (ii) A patent error in applying a statutory provision (iii) An inadvertent omission to consider a material provision of law or a binding precedent where the omission results in a manifest error or (iv) A finding based on a clear factual mistake apparent from the record itself.

16. Not every legal error, omission, or failure to discuss an argument qualifies for review. The error must satisfy the restrictive test governing review jurisdiction.

17. In the case of ***Kamlesh Verma v. Mayawati, reported in (2013) 8 SCC 320,*** the Supreme Court held that a review is not maintainable on the following grounds:

(i) Repetition of arguments already considered and rejected.

(ii) A request to re-appreciate evidence or reassess the merits.

(iii) The possibility of taking another view on the same facts or law and;

(iv) An alleged erroneous decision that requires appellate reconsideration or a plea that the judgment ought to have been more elaborate or should have discussed every argument. The Supreme Court emphasized that review cannot be used to substitute one judicial view for another.

18. Having considered the grounds on which the applicant is seeking review of the judgment dated 05.06.2026, passed in WA No. 6/2025 and WA No. 7/2025, we are of the considered opinion that the grounds do not fulfill the requirements for a review. Only some clarification would be

required in the instant case, affirming the findings already arrived at by this Court in the said judgment sought to be reviewed.

19. We hereby reiterate that the principle of implied repeal does not necessarily render the entire earlier act inapplicable. The doctrine of harmonious construction may still be applied to permit the old act and the new act to coexist where there is no repugnancy.

20. We therefore clarify that the Act of 1965 has not been replaced by the Act of 2013 in the State of Nagaland. However, by applying the doctrine of harmonious construction, it is stated that this Court has made an endeavor to give effect to both the enactments without concluding that the earlier law has been repealed by implication. We hereby clarify that the acquisition process may be done in terms of the Act of 1965. However, just and fair compensation has to be made as per rates and quantification as provided under the Act of 2013 as it will be more beneficial to the landowners.

21. In terms of the above clarification, the review applications are disposed of.

JUDGE **JUDGE**

Comparing Assistant

MEININGLUNGLIU GONMEI

Digitally signed by MEININGLUNGLIU GONMEI
Date: 2026.11.03 15:42:34 +05'30'