GAHC020003972026

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/114/2026

SHRI PRASANTA CHAUDHURI
PERMANENT RESIDENT OF JOLAIBARI, SOUTH TRIPURA,
PRESENTLY RESIDING AT BADHARGHAT, AGARTALA, WEST TRIPURA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 3 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY, NAGALAND, KOHIMA

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
DEPT. OF SCHOOL EDUCATION AND SCERT
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

3:THE PRINCIPAL DIRECTOR
DIRECTORATE OF SCHOOL EDUCATION
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

4:THE PRINCIPAL
RASHTRA BHASHA HINDI TRAINING INSTITUTE
HINDI NAGAR
PADUMPUKHURI
NAGALAND
DIMAPU

Advocate for the Petitioner : YANGRO HUMTSOE,

Advocate for the Respondent : GOVT ADV NL,

Linked Case : WA/23/2026

SHRI PRASANTA CHAUDHURI
PERMANENT RESIDENT OF JOLAIBARI
SOUTH TRIPURA
PRESENTLY RESIDING AT BADHARGHAT
AGARTALA
WEST TRIPURA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 3 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
DEPT. OF SCHOOL EDUCATION AND SCERT
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

3:THE PRINCIPAL DIRECTOR
DIRECTORATE OF SCHOOL EDUCATION
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

4:THE PRINCIPAL
RASHTRA BHASHA HINDI TRAINING INSTITUTE
HINDI NAGAR
PADUMPUKHURI
NAGALAND
DIMAPUR

Advocate for : MOA JAMIR
Advocate for : GOVT ADV NL appearing for THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 3 ORS

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS

ORDER

11.11.2026
(Budi Habung, J.)

1. Heard Mr. Moa Jamir, learned counsel for the Applicant. Also heard Ms. S. Mere, learned Senior Government Advocate appearing for the State Respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3.

2. Office note dated 02.07.2026 reflects that as per tracking report of the consignment, notice upon Respondent No. 4 is shown as delivered. However, none appears on behalf of the Respondent No. 4.

3. This is an application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 seeking condonation of delay of 212 days in filing the accompanying appeal. The grounds for appeal in preferring the connected appeal has been explained in paragraphs 5 and 6 of the application.

4. Upon hearing and consideration of the averments made in the said paragraphs, we are satisfied that sufficient cause has been shown for not filing the connected appeal within the stipulated time. Moreover, the State Respondent has no objection in condoning the delay of 212 days.

5. Despite of receipt of the notice, Respondent No. 4 did not appear. It is, therefore, presumed that Respondent No. 4 has no objection in condoning the appeal.

6. In view of the above, this interlocutory application stands allowed and disposed of.

7. List the connected WA/23/2026 for Admission after 2 (two) weeks on a date to be fixed by the Registry.

JUDGE **JUDGE**

Comparing Assistant