GAHC020000702026

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH

Case No. : WP(C)/21/2026

MR. DIETHOZO KHAPE
REPRESENTED BY ITS PROPRIETOR, S/O LATE DIZU KHAPE, R/O HIGH SCHOOL JUNCTION, HIGH SCHOOL COLONY, KOHIMA, NAGALAND

VERSUS

STATE OF NAGALAND AND 2 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF NAGALAND, NAGALAND, KOHIMA

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
TO THE GOVT. OF NAGALAND
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND LAW
NAGALAND
KOHIMA.

3:THE REGISTRAR
GAUHATI HIGH COURT KOHIMA BENCH
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

Advocate for the Petitioner : L LIKHASE SANGTAM, ANGAM KONYAK,MUTHOI

Advocate for the Respondent : GOVT ADV NL,

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG

ORDER

05/11/2026

Heard Ms. Angam Konyak, learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Also heard Mr. E. Thiba Phom, learned Government Advocate for the State respondent Nos. 1 & 2 as well as Mrs. Lhousino, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. A. Zhimomi, learned standing counsel for the Gauhati High Court, Kohima Bench.

3. This writ petition under Section 226 of the Constitution of India was filed seeking direction to the State respondent for payment of Rs 13,61,915/- (Rupees Thirteen Lakh Sixty-One Thousand Nine Hundred Fifteen Only) being the outstanding amount towards the Supply and Delivery of furniture items to respondent No. 3 pursuant to the Supply Order dated 09.07.2014.

4. Today, Ms. Angam Konyak, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner has received the aforesaid amount of Rs. 13,61,915/- from the respondent(s) and therefore, the petitioner's grievance stands redressed. Accordingly, she prays that the writ petition may be disposed of.

5. Mr. E. Thiba Phom, learned Government Advocate has produced a letter dated 26.10.2026 issued by the Law & Justice Department, Nagaland, addressed to him, stating that in compliance with the claim of the petitioner and in settlement of the petitioner's

claim, a Cheque for Rs. 13,61,915/- towards payment of the outstanding bill has been remitted in favor of the Registrar, Gauhati High Court, Kohima Bench. The said letter also clarified that, as the supply order in the present case was issued without prior administrative approval of the government, the payment made in this case may not be treated as a precedent for similar claims in future.

6. In view of the submission made on behalf of the petitioner that the outstanding amount has been received and the grievance raised in the writ petition has this been redressed, nothing survives for consideration in the matter.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
JUDGE

Comparing Assistant