GAHC030007512026

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/186/2026

Smt. Dr. Renu Sharma and 10 Ors.
The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mizoram, Aizawl - 796001 2: Dr. Lalzirmawia Chhangte

3: Sh. R. Lalramnghaka

4: Sh. Vanlalchhuanga

5: Sh. Lalsangliana

6: Smt. Lalzarmawii

7: Sh. Khilli Ram Meena

8: Sh. David Lalthantluanga

9: Sh. K. Lalthawmmawia

10: Sh. Vanlaldina Fanai

11: Smt. Angela Zothanpui

VERSUS

Mizoram SSA Teachers Association and Anr.

R/b Its President, Near SCERT, Chaltlang, Aizawl, Mizoram - 796012 2:Sh. Rokima Vart

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr Samuel Vanlalhriata Chhangte

Advocate for the Respondent :

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH MAZUMDAR

ORDER

27.10.2026

Heard Mr. B. Deb, learned Senior Counsel, assisted by Mr. Samuel Vanlalhriata Chhangte, learned counsel for the applicants through Video Conferencing, as well as Mr. Victor L. Ralte, learned counsel appearing for the respondents in the Interlocutory Application/petitioners in the main Contempt Petition.

2. This Interlocutory Application has been filed praying for exemption of the applicants from appearing personally before this Court today and to permit the respondents to mark their appearance through their authorized counsel during pendency of the Contempt Petition.

3. By the order dated 05.10.2026, this Court, in paragraph 7, had observed that the personal appearance of the applicants on 27.10.2026 was dispensed with, subject to further orders that may be passed in the connected Contempt Petition. This Court, by order dated 05.10.2026, had also observed that the matter be placed before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for administrative approval

regarding listing, and the matter was to be taken up today at the Aizawl Bench.

4. I have heard the learned Senior Counsel and I have also heard the learned counsel appearing for the respondents/applicants in the Contempt Petition.

5. The personal appearance for today had already been exempted by the order dated 05.10.2026. Such dispensation was subject to further orders that may be passed in the connected Contempt Petition. Accordingly, this Interlocutory Application may not require any further consideration, and orders passed in the Contempt Petition would govern the requirement of the respondents in the Contempt Petition to appear personally before this Court.

6. In such view of the matter, I.A.(C) No. 186/2026 is accordingly closed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant