GAHC030006872025

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/30/2025

B.Chhuasa and 50 Ors.
S/o B.Beilua, R/o Laki, Siaha District, Mizoram 2: C.Tlasei

3: B.Beirokhai

4: P.Sari

5: B.Valy

6: Falton K.Beihrotha

7: Beizahnie

8: B.Melezi

9: P.Vahnei

10: B.Johana

11: T.Beihro

12: B.Rosa

13: Hneilaw Bohla

14: HC Beihrotlua

15: TT Lychhi

16: C.Thahe

17: K.Beihi

18: Kochha

19: Zochei

20: Deichhai

21: HC Chikhai

22: C.Thahe

23: T.Seido

24: K.Nuso

25: ST Beirelthai

26: L.Zakhu

27: B.Pazaw

28: AZ Viasa

29: B.Lawsua

30: B.Khaihe

31: PK Bohia

32: TV Bohla

33: K.Mothie

34: B.Laiba

35: PK Bohla

36: M.Mawkhu

37: T.Pakhai

38: Zoro B Khepha

39: Merijohn

40: Chikhai

41: HC Khaihmo

42: Chhadia

43: A.Tlado

44: S.Ngiakha

45: T.Hrothile

46: B.Beithyuheih

47: S.Kothie

48: L.Hrahi

49: L.Zakhu

50: C.Lameka

51: Tos

VERSUS

Sh Rajesh Kumar Singh and 5 Ors.
The Secretary, Ministry of Defence, (Border Roads Developmet Board), Room No.416, Sena Bhavan B Wingh, New Delhi-1100112:Sh Girish Prasad Singh

3:Sh Vanlalmawia

4:Sh VL Hruaizela Khiangte

5:Sh K.Vanlalliana

6:Smt Nazee Khail

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms Dinari T Azyu

Advocate for the Respondent : Mrs. Mary Lalruatkimi Khiangte for R4

B E F O R E

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE HELEN DAWNGLIANI

ORDER

12.11.2026

Heard Mr. Victor L. Ralte, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. Zairemsangpuii, learned CGC for respondent No. 1 and Mrs. Mary L. Khiangte, learned counsel for respondent No. 4.

2. The instant application which is filed under Rule 9 of the Contempt of Court (Gauhati High Court) Rules, 1977 read with Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for non-implementation/non-compliance of the Judgment & Order dated 25.11.2024 passed in WP(C) No. 127/2023.

3. Mr. Victor L. Ralte, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there were 3 (three) directions contained in the impugned Order dated 25.11.2024, wherein, the first two directions have been complied with and the third direction, wherein, the respondents were directed to release the deducted 10% cess to the petitioners immediately have been put to challenge before the Division Bench of this Court which was registered as W.A No. 4/2025 and which was disposed of by the Judgment & Order dated 03.11.2025, wherein, the judgment of the learned Single Judge in respect to the third direction was interfered with.

4. Being aggrieved Special LeavePetition is filed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court which is registered as SLP(C) No. 5934/2026 and the same is pending before the Hon'ble Apex Court. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in a similar order that was passed in Contempt Case No. 17/2025 in connection with similar facts may also be passed in the instant case.

5. In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, it is ordered that since the Division Bench of this Court has not interfered with the finding of the learned Single Judge with regard to the third direction contained in the impugned judgment and order, there is no point of adjudicating further within the contempt jurisdiction.

6. Accordingly, the instant contempt petition is closed.However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the contempt petition dependingupon the final result of the SLP pending before the Hon'ble Apex Court.

With the above order, the application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant