GAHC030005922026

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/119/2026

Sh. Bikronjoy and 2 Ors.
S/o Sh. Sukrumoni, R/o Dumzautlang Village, Lawngtlai District, Mizoram 2: Sh. Khetorang
S/o Sh. Langgiha
R/o Dumzautlang Village
Lawngtlai District
Mizoram
Pin - 796891

3: Smt. Lalnunthari
D/o Sh. U.J. Danbia
R/o Dumzautlang Village
Lawngtlai District
Mizoram
Pin - 79689

VERSUS

The Lai autonomous District Council (LADC) and 6 Ors.
(R/b the Chief Executive Member, Lawngtlai, Mizoam) 2:The Executive Member i/c LAD
Lai Autonomous District Council (LADC)
Lawngtlai
Mizoram
Pin - 796891

3:The Local Administrative Officer
Lai Autonomous District Council (LADC)

Lawngtlai
Mizoram
Pin - 796891

4:Sh. K. Ronghaklala

District Council Education Officer (DCAEO)
LADC
Pin - 796891

5:Sh. Mamchondro
S/o Sotichondro R/o Dumzautlang Village
Lawngtlai District
Mizoram (Enquiry Commission - Car taker) Pin - 796891

6:Smt. Lalparmawii
D/o Sh. Melirai
R/o Dumzautlang Village
Lawngtlai District
Mizoram (Enquiry Commission - Member) Pin - 796891

7:Sh. Sakhoniti
S/o Sh. Duhra
R/o Dumzautlang Village
Lawngtlai District
Mizoram (enquiry Commission - Member) Pin - 79689

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Lalruatpuia Sailo

Advocate for the Respondent : Mr. R. Lalhmunsiama for R 1-3

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA
ORDER

16.11.2026

Heard Mr. Lalruatpuia Sailo, the learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. R. Lalhmunsiama, the learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 to 3, who submits that the notification of the suspension of the petitioners which has been impugned in this writ petition has already been revoked by the respondent authorities vide its Notification dated 09.11.2026, and as such, he submits that this writ petition has become infructuous. In support of his submission, he has

produced a copy of Notification dated 09.11.2026, issued by the Local Administrative Officer, Lai Autonomous District Council, Lawngtlai.

Let a copy of the said notification be marked as 'X' and placed with the record as part of it.

After considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, as well as after going through the notification produced by him, it appears that this writ petition has become infructuous. The learned counsel for the petitioner has also made similar submissions.

As such, this writ petition is disposed of on becoming infructuous.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant