GAHC030003562025

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/17/2025

Zohruailiana and 73 Ors.
S/o Hrahia, New Laty, Saiha District, Mizoram 2: H.C.Bleso

3: S.Mudi

4: H.Chihra

5: L.Pahra

6: S.Sokhai

7: N.Hlybei

8: Lysa

9: Bieso

10: H.C.Choma

11: B.Vachhua

12: Beihrorona

13: H.C.Hrahia

14: Thatu

15: L.Flory

16: Zawsu

17: Ch.Eko

18: H.C.Hruakhu

19: Hana

20: L.Simona

21: Beikhairona

22: H.C.Khotu

23: Kristahrohnei Khithie

24: Molai Hlychho

25: Marshall J.Mokhu

26: Thahlo

27: K.Siahmo

28: Hrokhu

29: s.Beihrua

30: HC Beichatlai

31: Beibielai

32: L.Beikhochhi

33: K.T.Cholua

34: Awmpuia

35: H.C.Locho

36: K.Pawtha

37: Thawnalha

38: C.Thahe

39: NT Sanga

40: Beirma

41: B.Beirokhu

42: Beipadaosia

43: S.Nango

44: HC.Beithielai

45: Pawlai Hlychho

46: B.Nonai

47: Vabeihruasa

48: H.Pawhra

49: S.Beikhaihnia

50: Sachi

51: Muankima

52: M.Chhalai

53: Ekilizi

54: HC Rasia

55: ECM R/b B.Johana

56: H.Zohmingthanga

57: L.Lova

58: Vakho Hnaihly

59: Siaki

60: K.Ngiachho

61: Chhacho

62: Hlaichho

63: F.Heichho

64: Beisu Pathai

65: Beingiapasa

66: Khawsa

67: Beirakaw

68: Beithlalo

69: HC. Beidy

70: Nevili

71: S.Laihie

72: H.Nizili

73: S.Halai

74: C.Zawbe

VERSUS

Sh Rajesh Kumar Singh and 9 Ors.
The Secretary, Ministry of Defence, (Border Roads Development Board), Room No.416, Sena Bhavan B Wing, New Delhi-110011 2:Sh Girish Prasad Singh

3:Sh Lalsangliana

4:Sh V.L.Hruaizela Khiangte

5:Sh K.Vanlalliana

6:Smt Nazee Khaila

7:Sh. Vanlalmawi

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms Dinari T Azyu

Advocate for the Respondent : Ms Zairemsangpuii for R 1, 2 and 8

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH MAZUMDAR
ORDER

29.10.2026

It has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that two of the directions issued in the Order dated 21.11.2024 in WP(C) No. 60/2023 have already been complied with by the respondents. However, in a Writ Appeal preferred by the respondents, the direction regarding the refund of the Cess has been interfered with by the Division Bench of this Court.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that the Order passed in the Writ Appeal has been assailed in a higher forum and therefore,

the matter may be adjourned sine die till the Apex Court decides the issues led by the petitioners.

Ms. Gina Vanlalhruaii Renthlei, learned counsel, appears for respondent Nos. 5 & 6 and Ms. Zairemsangpuii, learned CGC, appears for respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 8 are present.

In view of the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court, the Contempt Petition is not required to be adjudicated further at this point of time and is accordingly closed, allowing liberty to the petitioner to revive the Contempt Petition, if so necessary upon final adjudication of the Apex Court.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant