GAHC010264192026

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./3878/2026

DR KULADEEP THAKURIA
SON OF LATE ARABINDA THAKURIA, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE BONGALTOLA RAMDIA, P.O. MAJARKURI, P.S. HAJO, DISTRICT KAMRUP, ASSAM, PIN 781102

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, ASSAM.

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. SURAJIT DAS, MR. M HUSSAIN,MR I U CHOUDHURY

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SHAMIMA JAHAN

ORDER

Date : 25.11.2026

Heard Mr. S. Das, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. M. P. Goswami, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor for the State of Assam.

2. By this application, the petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Rangia P.S. Case No. 378/2026, registered under Sections 74, 75(1)(i), 75(1)

(ii), 76, 78(1)(i), 78(1)(ii), 351(2) of the BNS, 2023, with added Section 64(1) of the said code.

3. The FIR in connection with which the petitioner was arrested on 03.01.2026, was lodged by the victim herself stating *inter alia*, that after she went to the petitioner for her treatment of anxiety, the petitioner started contacting her over phone and harassed her for many days and that he had also sexually assaulted her inside the vehicle.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the story narrated in the FIR is a concocted story and that, various allegations made against the petitioner cannot even be believed. He also submits about the apology letter given by the petitioner but then still, he submits that the informant had lodged the FIR.

5. On the other hand Mr. M. P. Goswami, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor for the State of Assam, submits that the Case Diary be looked into to see the entire gamut of the case and to see the complicity of the petitioner.

6. Upon consideration of the submissions made by the learned counsels, more so in view of the apology letter given by the petitioner and also in view of the deed of settlement reached between the petitioner and the alleged victim and also due to the fact that the petitioner has been inside the jail for the last 21 days, this court enlarges the petitioner on bail on furnishing of a bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with one local surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the SDJM, Rangia under the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner shall positively appear before the IO/Trial Court

without fail as and when call for.

(ii) The petitioner shall not approach and/or influence any of the witness connected with the case; and

(iii) The petitioner shall not leave his residence without prior permission of the Investigating Officer.

7. The Bail application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant