GAHC010260642026

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2990/2026

EZAZ AHMED
S/O JALAL AHMED, R/O ALICHINGA, URIAMGURI, P.O. PANCHMILE, P.S. TEZPUR, DIST. SONITPUR, ASSAM, PIN 784025

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY PP, ASSAM.

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S C BISWAS, MS. S. CHANDA,MS. J GHOSH,MS. U NANDA,MR. MEHUL SHAH,MS. R DEVI,MS. K L R YANTHAN

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

Date : 20.11.2026

Heard Mr. S.C. Biswas, learned counsel appearing for the accused applicant. Also heard Mr. K.K. Parasar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State.

2. This is an application filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 praying

for granting pre-arrest bail to the accused applicant namely, Ezaz Ahmed, in connection with Tezpur P.S. Case No. 505/2026 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 1164/2026 under Sections 316(2)/318(4)/336(3)/338/340(2) of BNS, 2023.

3. An FIR was lodged on 03.08.2026 before the Officer-in-Charge of Mahabhairab Police Station by the Block Elementary Education Officer, alleging that the accused applicant, namely, Narzin Sultana Farukee, a Hindi Teacher of SGCSS, Ketekibari Balika MV School, had fraudulently attempted to avail a loan from HDFC Bank by submitting an inflated salary statement purportedly generated from the FIN Assam Portal, along with a manipulated bank statement/passbook.

4. On the basis of the aforesaid FIR, the police registered Tezpur P.S. Case No. 505/2026 under the aforementioned sections.

5. The learned counsel for the accused applicant submits that the accused person named in the FIR, namely, Narzin Sultana Farukee, has already been granted pre-arrest bail by this Court. He submits that the accused applicant, who is the husband of the aforesaid Narzin Sultana Farukee, has no role in the allegations made in the FIR. He further submits that the accused applicant is a retired Government teacher. He submits that the accused applicant shall cooperate with the investigation and, therefore, prays that he be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

6. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

7. This Court has taken into consideration the materials available on record as well as the submissions made by the learned counsel for the respective parties.

8. Taking into account the entire facts and circumstances of the case, this

Court is of the considered opinion that the accused applicant should be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

9. Accordingly, it is directed that in the event of arrest of the accused applicant named above in connection with the aforementioned case, he shall be released on pre-arrest bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.30,000/- with a surety of like nature to the satisfaction of the Arresting Authority subject to the following conditions:-

(i) the accused applicant shall appear before the Investigating Officer within 10 days from today;

(ii) the accused applicant shall cooperate with the investigation and make himself available as and when called for;

(iii) the accused applicant shall not try to influence any of the witnesses that may be involved in the instant case or tamper or hamper with the investigation, in whatsoever manner.

10. Anticipatory bail application is accordingly disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant