GAHC010255852026

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2953/2026

ANAM UDDIN MAZUMDER
S/O. LT. ABDUL MATLIB MAZUMDER, VILL.- BASHDAHAR PT-2, PS- HAILAKANDI, PO RP ROAD, DIST HAILAKANDI, PIN-788155.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
TO BE REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR E AHMED, MR. R A CHOUDHURY,MR. R A MAZUMDER

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SHAMIMA JAHAN

ORDER

Date : 19.11.2026

Heard Mr. E. Ahmed, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Ms. SH Bora, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. By this application, the petitioner has prayed for pre arrest bail in connection with Hailakandi P.S. Case No. 190/2026 registered under Section 118(2)/109(1)/115(2)/127(2)/196(1)/299/351(3)/3(5) of BNS, 2023. The FIR in

connection with which the petitioner is apprehending arrest was lodged by the alleged victim stating inter alia that he is Journalist by profession and that an unknown person took him to a particular place and when he reached the place, the petitioner along with others attacked him. It is also stated that when one person came to save him, he was also attacked and that his hand got fractured. It is further stated that the accused no.1 was kept in the house of the accused no.2, 3 and 4 for a long time for his marriage with a Hindu woman.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the story narrated in the FIR is a concocted story and that there was no use of sharp weapons by the petitioner or anyone else.

4. On the other hand, Ms. SH Bora, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State submits that the allegations of attacking the informant is writ large in the FIR and that one of the victim also got injured and as such he submits that Case Diary be looked into.

5. Upon consideration of the submissions made by the learned Counsel for the parties, more so, in view of the fact that no specific allegation is made against the petitioner, this Court deems it fit to enlarge the petitioner on pre arrest bail on furnishing of bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with one local surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of Arresting Authority under the following conditions:

i) the petitioner will appear before the Investigating Officer within 15 days from the date of the order and will appear before the Investigating Officer and Trial Court as and when called for.

ii) the petitioner will not hamper, tamper with the evidence, neither influence the witnesses connected with the case.

iii) the petitioner will not leave the place of jurisdiction without the permission of the Arresting Authority.

6. Petition is disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant