GAHC010255642026

2026:GAU-AS:17302

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./3747/2026

ROSHAN BAJAJ
SON OF OM PRAKASH BAJAJ, R/O- A.K. AZAD ROAD, BYELANE NO. 1, P.S.- PALTAN BAZAR, DIST- KAMRUP (M), ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A M BORA, MR. V A CHOWDHURY,MS. C CHOUDHURY

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

Date : 23.11.2026

Heard Mr. A.M. Bora, learned Senior Counsel, assisted by Mr. V.A. Choudhury, learned counsel, appearing for the accused-applicant. Also heard Mr. M.P. Goswami, learned Addl. P.P., representing the State respondent.

2. This is an application filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023, praying for

granting bail to the accused-applicant in connection with Paltanbazar Case No. 294/2026, registered under Sections 115(2)/316(2)/318(4)/336(3)/340(2)/61(2)(b)/69 of BNS, 2023.

3. An FIR was lodged by the informant, namely, Smti. Sonia Bagaria before the Officer-in-charge of Paltanbazar Police Station alleging that she being a widow was induced by the accused-applicant to get into a relationship with him and thereafter, they had continued physical relationship and during that time, the accused-applicant induced her to part with more than a crore of rupees which was invested in certain land as well as other properties. It is also alleged that the accused-applicant misrepresented that he was also a divorcee but in spite of his promise of marrying the informant, he refused to marry her but ultimately being compelled with the situation, she requested for return of money that has been paid by her and other jewelries but, however, though, certain amount of money has been returned, a huge amount of money is yet to be paid back. Faced with the aforesaid situation, the informant has filed the instant FIR.

4. The learned Senior Counsel appearing for the accused-applicant submits that the accused-applicant was arrested on 27.10.2026 and since then, the accused-applicant is behind bars. The learned Senior Counsel submits that this is a case, wherein, both the parties had consensual relationship including physical and which went sour on a later date. He submits that though there are allegations of misuse of money parted by the informant with the accused-applicant, the accused-applicant has, in fact, returned the major part of the money and other amounts are also to be returned soon. He submits that since the accused-applicant is willing to cooperate with the investigation, the accused-applicant should be released on bail.

5. The learned Addl. PP, on the other hand, opposes the prayer of bail of the accused-applicant.

6. This Court has gone through the Case Diary as well as heard the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the respective parties.

7. Taking into account the entire facts of the matters as well as the materials available in the Case Diary, this Court is of the opinion that the accused-applicant should be released on bail, who is inside the jail for the last 28 days. Accordingly, it is directed that the accused-applicant be released on bail on furnishing a bail bond of Rs. 50,000/- (Fifty Thousand) with a surety of like amount to the satisfaction of the Court of learned CJM, Kamrup, subject to the following conditions:

1. *That the accused-applicant shall appear before Investigating authority, as and when required and cooperate with the investigation;*

2. *That the accused-applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat, or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or police.*

8. In terms of the aforesaid direction, the instant bail application is disposed of as allowed.

JUDGE

Miriam Degu
(SPA)