GAHC010253832026

2026:GAU-AS:16816

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2904/2026

RUPA KHATUN
WIFE OF SHAHJAHAN ALI, PERMANENT RESIDENT OF KANDULIMARI, KAMRUP, ASSAM, PIN 781136, PRESENTLY RESIDING AT GHORAMARA, RAHMAN PATH, P.O. BELTOLA, P.S. HATIGAON, DISTRICT KAMRUP M, ASSAM.

2: AZIZUR RAHMAN
SON OF SIDDIK ALI
PERMANENT RESIDENT OF HOUSE NO. 47
NEAR MASJID
KANDULIMARI
KAMRUP
ASSAM
PIN 781136
PRESENTLY RESIDING AT GHORAMARA
RAHMAN PATH
P.O. BELTOLA
P.S. HATIGAON
DISTRICT KAMRUP M
ASSAM.

3: AJMAT ALI
SON OF LATE MAHAR ALI
PERMANENT RESIDENT OF VILLAGE KANDULIMARI PATHAR
CHHAYGAON
KAMRUP
ASSAM
PIN 781136
PRESENTLY RESIDING AT GHORAMARA
RAHMAN PATH
P.O. BELTOLA
P.S. HATIGAON
DISTRICT KAMRUP M
ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
, REPRESENTED BY THE LEARNED ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, ASSAM, GAUHATI HIGH COURT, GUWAHATI, ASSAM.

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR S H SIKDAR, MS N J BEGUM

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS

ORDER

Date : 16-11-2026

Heard Mr. S. H. Sikdar, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. D. P. Goswami, learned Additional PP for the State.

2. The petitioner invoking section 482 BNSS is seeking transit anticipatory bail in connection with Nodal Cyber PS Case No. 47/2025 under section 61(2)/126(2)/204/205/308(2)/308(3)/316(2)/318(4)/351(2) BNS read with section 66(C)/66(D) of IT Act.

3. It is stated and submitted that as per the instruction, the petitioner is not named in the FIR. However, the petitioner received notice under section 35 (3) BNSS from the Nodal Cyber Police Station, Maharashtra Cyber, Mumbai, and though he wants to appear he is having some apprehensions and therefore he is before this Court.

4. The grounds for seeking transit anticipatory bail are mentioned in para 6 of the bail petition. It is stated and submitted that as he has to appear in Mumbai,

he is making some financial arrangements for travelling and filing bail petition before the appropriate court.

5. I have perused the notice annexed in the bail petition.

6. Upon giving my consideration to the matter and holding that the appearance of the petitioner before the Nodal Cyber Police Station, Maharashtra Cyber, Mumbai could assist in the investigation of the case, I am inclined to allow the prayer, invoking the principles in *Priya Indoria Vs. State of Karnataka and Ors* reported in *(2024) 4 SCC 749.*

7. Accordingly, it is directed that for the period of 15 (fifteen days) from today, i.e., till 01.12.2026, in the event of arrest of the petitioner in connection with the aforesaid case, he shall be allowed to go on bail on furnishing a bail bond of Rs. 30,000/- (Rupees thirty thousand) with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of the Arresting authority, subject to the conditions that:-

(i) He shall cooperate with the investigation;

(ii) He shall not hamper or tamper with evidence.

8. Within this period, the petitioner is at liberty to move the jurisdictional court (district or high court) for remedies available in law.

9. Anticipatory bail application stands allowed and disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant