GAHC010253502026

2026:GAU-AS:16989

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/6235/2026

SHOMUR UDDIN
R/O-FARAMPASHA, P.O.P.S.-NILAMBAZAR, DISTRICT- SRIBHUMI, ASSAM.
PIN-788722

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM,
REVENUE DM LR DEPARTMENT, DISPUR, GHY-06.

2:THE DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORDS AND SURVEYS
ASSAM
RUPNAGAR
GUWAHATI-32

3:THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
SRIBHUMI KARIMGANJ
ASSAM. PIN-788710

4:THE CIRCLE OFFICER
NILAMBAZAR REVENUE CIRCLE
P.O.- NILAMBAZAR
DISTRICT-SRIBHUMI
ASSAM. PIN-78872

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR M A ISLAM, MS L DAS,MR J I MONDAL

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, SC, REVENUE

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANISH CHOUDHURY

ORDER

Date : 18.11.2026

Heard Mr. M.A. Islam, learned counsel for the petitioner; Ms. P.R. Mahanta, learned Standing Counsel, Revenue Department for the respondent nos. 1 & 2; and Mr. H.K. Hazarika, learned Junior Government Advocate, Assam for the respondent nos. 3 & 4.

2. Having regard to the limited nature of grievance raised by the petitioner in this writ petition, the writ petition is taken up for final consideration at the motion stage itself, as sought for and as agreed to by the learned counsel for the parties.

3. The petitioner has stated that he is the owner of a plot of land measuring 10 Chataks 13 Gondas [480 square feet], covered by Dag no. 1512 & Patta no. 787, situate at Village – Nilambazar Town Ishwarshree, Mouza – Dewadi, Nilambazar Revenue Circle, District – Sribhumi, Assam ['the subject-plot', for short]. It is the grievance of the petitioner that his name has not yet been recorded in the Dharitree Service and because his name has not yet been recorded in Dharitree Service, the petitioner has not been able to pay the land revenue against the subject-plot.

4. Stating the grievance the petitioner has submitted a representation before the respondent no. 4 on 11.12.2025 seeking correction in the records and for updating his name in the Dharitree Service against the subject-plot. As the representation has not been given consideration till date, the petitioner is before this Court by the instant writ petition seeking a direction to the respondent authorities to record his name against the subject-plot in the Dharitree Service.

5. Ms. Mahanta and Mr. Goswami, learned counsel appearing for the State respondents have submitted that the respondent no. 4 is the primary authority for redressal of the grievance raised by the petitioner. They have submitted that the respondent no. 4 as the primary authority is in possession of the revenue records. They have submitted that since the

representation, stated to have been submitted by the petitioner before the respondent no. 4 on 11.12.2025, has not been disposed of till date, the writ petition, at this stage, may be disposed of with a direction to the respondent no. 4 to take the representation for consideration and thereafter, upon its consideration and examining the relevant records, to dispose of the representation on merit by a reasoned decision.

6. Mr. Islam, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would have no objection if such a direction is made.

7. In view of the broad consensus arrived at by the learned counsel for the parties in the afore-stated manner, this Court is of the considered view that instead of keeping this writ petition pending, the same can be disposed of with a direction to the respondent no. 4 to take the representation, stated to have been submitted by the petitioner before him on 11.12.2025, on board and thereafter, to dispose of the said representation, upon examination of the relevant records, on merit by a reasoned order as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of one month from the date of submission of a copy of this order by the petitioner at his office. It is accordingly directed.

8. This order disposes of the writ petition. No cost.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant