GAHC010252582026

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./3677/2026

MUSSTT ZINIAS PARBIN
D/O MD. PUTUL AHMED
W/O MD. SADDAM HUSSAIN,
RESIDENT OF VILL- NO. SONAPUR BLOCK, ISLAMPUR GAON, P.S. BIHPURIA, DIST. LAKHIMPUR, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR M H AHMED, MR. G A CHOUDHURY,I AMIN

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SHAMIMA JAHAN

ORDER

13.11.2026

1. Heard Mr. I. Amin, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. A. Begum, learned APP appearing for the State of Assam.

2. By this application the petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with North Lakhimpur G.R.P.S. Case No. 15/2026 registered under Sections

318(4)/318/61(2)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. The FIR in connection with which the petitioner was arrested on 05.11.2026 was lodged by a police personnel stating *inter alia* that acting on information that a lady was carrying fake gold at the railway station and was proceeding towards the Titabahar side a search was conducted at the said railway station. During the search, four pieces of golden-coloured metal resembling water pots were recovered from a gunny bag belonging to the petitioner. It is further stated by the informant that the petitioner was detained at the railway station and upon being questioned, she revealed that she was proceeding towards New Jalpaiguri. The informant further suspected that the articles recovered from her possession were fake gold.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that nothing was recovered from the petitioner's possession and that the gunny bag from which the suspected fake gold articles were recovered did not belong to the petitioner but was lying on a separate bench.

5. Ms. A. Begum, learned APP on the other hand submits that the FIR contains specific statements indicating that the petitioner was carrying the suspected fake gold with the intention of cheating people at New Jalpaiguri. She further submits that on an earlier occasion also the petitioner had allegedly indulged in a similar act.

6. Upon consideration of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, this Court is of the view that the petitioner deserves to be enlarged on bail, more so in view of the fact that the articles recovered from the gunny bag were merely suspected to be fake gold, the information received by the police

did not exactly tally with the facts of the case and the petitioner is a lady. Accordingly, the petitioner is enlarged on bail on furnishing a bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with one local surety of a like amount to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Lakhimpur subject to the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner shall appear before the I/O and the Trial Court as and when called for,

(ii) The petitioner shall not hamper and tamper with the evidence of the case, and/or influence the witnesses; and

(iii) The petitioner shall not leave his place of residence without the prior permission of the Investigating Officer.

7. Accordingly, this Bail Application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant