GAHC010250832026

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./3630/2026

ABDUL AHMED ALIAS RAJU
SON OF LATE NEKIB AHMED, RESIDENT OF LETEKUJAN, P.O. P.S. TITABAR, DIST. JORHAT, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, ASSAM.

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S BANIK, SR. ADV., MR P J DAS,MS FIZA BEGUM

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

Date : 11.11.2026

Heard Mr. S. Banik, learned counsel for the accused applicant. Also heard Mr. D.P. Goswami, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State.

2. This is an application filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 praying for grant of bail to the accused applicant namely **Abdul Ahmed @ Raju,** in connection with Titabar P.S. Case No.115/2026 corresponding to G.R. Case No.293/2026 registered

under Section 303(2)/316(4)/317(2) of the BNS, 2023.

3. The allegation, as made in the FIR dated 01.10.2026 lodged by the informant before the Officer-in-Charge of Titabar Police Station, is that an E-rickshaw was sold by his engaged driver, namely, Manturan Kalita, to the accused applicant.

4. The learned counsel for the accused applicant submits that the accused applicant had genuinely purchased the E-rickshaw from Manturan Kalita by executing an agreement and that he was not aware that the said vehicle was, in fact, a stolen one. He further submits that the accused applicant has been behind bars for the last 32 days and, since the E-rickshaw has already been recovered, further custodial detention of the accused applicant may not be required in the instant case.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail prayer of the accused applicant.

5. Taking into account the entire facts and circumstance of the case as well as taking into account the fact that the e-rickshaw has already been recovered, this Court is of the view that bail should be granted to the accused applicant at this stage.

6. Accordingly, it is directed that the accused applicant named above shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000/- with one surety of like nature to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Judicial Magistrate, Titabar subject to the condition that the accused applicant shall cooperate with the investigation and make himself available as and when called by the Investigating Officer.

7. In terms of the above, the instant bail application is disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant