GAHC010250342026

undefined

# THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
## (HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

**Case No. : Bail Appln./3640/2026**

SRI RAJIV PEGU ALIAS RAJIB PEGU
SON OF SRI PRABHAT PEGU, RESIDENT OF LISHANG DOLUNG, P.S. JONAI, DISTRICT DHEMAJI, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, ASSAM.

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR. B K GOGOI, MR B DEORI

**Advocate for the Respondent** : PP, ASSAM,

**BEFORE**
**HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SHAMIMA JAHAN**

**ORDER**

**Date : 12.11.2026**

Heard Mr. BK Gogoi, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. KK Parasar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. By this application, the petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Jonai PS Case No. 90/2026 registered under Section 69/88/318 of BNS, 2023. The FIR in connection with which the petitioner was arrested on 28.10.2026 was lodged by the victim herself stating inter alia that the petitioner has established physical relationship with her for the last one year on false promise of marriage. She also stated that she became pregnant and her pregnancy was terminated by the petitioner by taking her to the hospital. She further stated that of late he had avoided her and blocked her number.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no false promise of marriage and that the victim wanted to marry the petitioner instantly but the petitioner being unemployed was not willing to marry her as of now.

4. On the other hand, Mr. KK Parasar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State submits that the allegation of termination of pregnancy is also there against the petitioner and that the Case Diary be called for in order to see the complicity of the petitioner.

5. Upon considerations of the submissions made by the learned Counsels for the parties, more so in view of the fact that it is stated by the informant herself that she has been in physical relationship with the petitioner for the last one year and at that juncture, she did not complaint, this Court deems it fit to enlarge the petitioner on bail on furnishing of bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with one

local surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the SDJM, Jonai under the following conditions:

i) the petitioner will appear before the Investigating Officer as and when called for.

ii) the petitioner will not hamper, tamper with the evidence, neither influence the witnesses connected with the case.

iii) the petitioner will not leave the place of jurisdiction without the permission of the concerned Court.

6. Petition is disposed of.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**