GAHC010249872026

2026:GAU-AS:16875

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./3732/2026

DHAN MOHAMMAD AND 2 ORS.
S/O- WAJED ALI, R/O- DAMPUR, MAJORSUPA P.S.- HAJO DIST KAMRUP, ASSAM.
2: SAHAJUL HOQUE ALIAS SUNU
S/O- LATE MASHRAF ALI
R/O- DAMPUR
MAJORSUPA
P.S.- HAJO
DIST KAMRUP
ASSAM.
3: ALI HUSSAIN ALIAS KOLU
S/O- LATE AJIR ALI
R/O- DAMPUR
HAGLABORI P.S.- HAJO DIST KAMRUP
ASSAM
VERSUS
THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE P.P. ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR S M ABDULLAH P, MR. J JAKARIA,MD IMRAN,MR. MD IMDAD,MS F HUSSAIN

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

17.11.2026

Heard Mr. S. M. Abdullah P, learned counsel appearing for the

accused applicants and Mr. K. K. Das, learned Addl. PP for the State of Assam.

2. This is an application under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 praying for granting bail to the accused applicant in connection with Hajo P.S. Case No. 480/2026 registered under Sections 325/270/274/275/280/3(5) BNS, 2023 read with Section 13 (1) of the ACP Act, 2021.

3. The bail application filed earlier by the accused applicant i.e BA No. 3291/2026 was rejected by this Court after perusal of the Case Diary.

4. The gist of the fact is that an FIR was lodged by the SI Amarjeet Das on 21.09.2026 alleging that on receipt of reliable source information that illegal cow slaughtering activities were being carried out behind Dampur Majorsupa near Kabarshtan for illicit personal gain and pecuniary benefit. Thereafter, the Police reached the place of occurrence and it was observed that agitated local villagers had gathered and demolished temporary sheds used as in illegal slaughter house. It was alleged that on a thorough search conducted in the nearby sheds and godowns belonging to the accused persons led to the recovery and seizure of a total of 59 pieces of suspected cow skins.

5. On receipt of the FIR, the Police registered the Hajo P.S. Case No. 480/2026 registered under the aforementioned sections.

6. The learned counsel appearing for the accused applicants submits

that the accused applicants are behind bars for the last 51 days and further detention of the accused applicants in the instant case may not be required.

7. Mr. K. K. Das, learned Addl. PP, on the other hand, opposes the bail prayer of the accused applicants and submits that the Case Diary in the instant case would be called for.

8. This Court has heard the submissions of the learned counsel appearing for the respective parties and has also considered the allegations made in the FIR.

9. Taking into account the entire facts of the case as well as the length of detention, this Court is of the considered opinion that the accused applicants should be released on bail. Accordingly, the accused applicants, namely, ***(i) Dhan Mohammad, (ii) Sahajul Hoque @ Sunu and (iii) Ali Hussain @ Kolu*** are directed to be released on bail on furnishing a bail bond of 30,000/- each, with a surety of like nature to the satisfaction of the learned JMFC, Hajo subject to the following conditions:-

(i) that the accused applicants shall cooperate with the investigation and shall make themselves available as and when called for; and

(ii) that the accused applicants shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat, or promise to any person who may be acquainted with the facts of the case, so as to dissuade such person from disclosing such facts before the trial court in the trial pending against the accused applicants.

In terms of the aforesaid directions, this bail application stands disposed of, as allowed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant