GAHC010245132026

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./3579/2026

NUR MOHAMMAD ALI
S/O. FAROOQ ALI,R/O. HALDHA, P.O. P.S. BAIHATA CHARIALI, DIST-KAMRUP, ASSAM.PIN- 781381.
MOB. 87618 68685

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
TO BE REPRESENTED BY THE LEARNED PP., ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR A K AHMED, MS P KAKATI

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

Date : 16.11.2026

Heard Mr. A.K. Ahmed, learned counsel for the accused applicant. Also heard Mr. M.P. Goswami, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State.

2. This is an application filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 praying for grant of bail to the accused applicant namely, **Nur Mohammad Ali,** in connection

with Baihata Chariali P.S. Case No. 229/2026 under Sections 318(4)/350(1)/316(2)/317(2)/317(4)/95 of the BNS, 2023 R/W Section 83 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015.

3. The case, in brief, is that on receipt of secret information on 18.09.2026, the police lodged an FIR before the Baihata Chariali Police Station alleging that reliable information had been received regarding the dealing of suspected fake gold items at Juishal Dhaba, Baihata Chariali. Accordingly, the police went to the place and apprehended two persons, namely, Nur Mohammad and Ashif Hussain. On search, one golden-coloured boat-shaped metallic piece with reddish markings on its surface, suspected to be fake gold and weighing 1.3337 kg, was recovered. It is also alleged that another golden-coloured lota/kalash-shaped metallic piece with reddish markings on its surface, suspected to be fake gold and weighing 1.701 kg, along with one motorcycle without any registration documents, was seized.

4. On the basis of the FIR, police registered Baihata Chariali P.S. Case No. 229/2026 under the aforementioned Sections.

5. The learned counsel for the accused applicant submits that the accused applicant is 21 years boy and has been behind bars for 59 days. He submits that taking into account his length of detention, he should be enlarged on bail at this stage.

6. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposes the bail prayer of the accused applicant.

7. Case diary has been received and the same is perused.

8. Taking into account that the accused applicant is behind bars for 59 days, this Court is of the considered opinion that the accused applicant should be granted bail.

9. Accordingly, it is directed that the accused applicant named above shall be released on bail immediately on furnishing bail bond of Rs.30,000/- with one surety of like nature to the satisfaction of SDJM, Rangia subject to the following conditions:-

(i) the accused applicant shall cooperate with the investigation and make himself available as and when called for;

(ii) The accused applicant shall not try to hamper or tamper or induce anybody so the investigation process is misdirected or hampered.

10. In terms of the above, the instant application is disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant