GAHC010245072026

undefined

# THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
## (HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

**Case No. : Bail Appln./3536/2026**

SRI LARJIV DULOM
SON OF TALAR DULOM, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE SIKARIJO, POLICE STATION-DAPORIJO, P.S- DAPORIJO, DISTRICT-UPPER SUBANSIRI, ARUNACHAL PRADAESH

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESINTING BY LD STANDING COUNSEL, FOREST WILDLIFE DEPARTMENT, GOVT. OF ASSAM.

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR. S SHARMA, MR. A K PURKAYASTHA

**Advocate for the Respondent** : SC, FOREST,

**BEFORE**
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA**

**ORDER**

**Date : 05.11.2026**

Heard Mr. A. K. Purkayastha, learned counsel for the accused applicant. Also heard Mr. A. De, learned counsel appears on behalf of Mr. B. Sarma, learned Special Public Prosecutor for Forest and Wildlife Department, State of Assam.

**2.** This is an application filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 praying for grant of bail to the accused applicant namely **Larjiv Dulom** in connection with DCR (Forest) Case No.01/2026 corresponding to Sadiya P.S. G.D.E. No.14/2026 registered under Section 9/39/48A/50/51 of Wild Life Protection Act, 1972 under Section 51 of Wild Life Protection Act, 1972.

**3**. The case in brief is that on 05.09.2026 at around 12.30 a.m., one Maruti Spresso vehicle bearing Registration NO. AR-01P/5733 was intercepted by police and during the checking at Thana Chariali, the police recovered 22 Nos. hunted parakeets, 9 Nos. of Red Breasted Parakeets and 13 Nos. of Blossom headed parakeets.

**4.** The police arrested the suspected poachers namely, 1. Sri Larjiv Dulom and 2. Sri Kul Bahadur Pradhan, who were found in the vehicle.

**5.** On receipt of the FIR, the police registered the Sadiya P.S. G.D.E. No.14/2026 and correspondingly DCR (Forest) Case No.01/2026 was registered.

**6.** The learned counsel for the accused/applicant submits that the Offence Report in the instant case has been already filed by the Forest Department and investigation in the matter is already over and since, the accused/applicant is behind bars for 60 days, further detention of the accused/applicant may not be necessary. He further submits that the co-accused of the instant case, who has been named in the FIR, namely, Sri Kul Bahadur Pradhan has already been granted bail by learned Additional Sessions Judge (FTC No.2), Tinsukia on 29.10.2026. In view of the aforesaid, learned counsel for the accused/applicant submits that the accused/applicant should be granted bail.

**7.** The learned counsel appearing for the respondent Forest and Wildlife

Department has opposed the prayer for bail.

**8.** Taking into account the fact that the accused/applicant is behind bars for 60 days and Offence Report in the instant case is already been filed, this Court is of the considered opinion that the accused/applicant named above should be released on bail. Accordingly, it is directed that the accused/applicant shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees fifty thousand) only with one surety of like nature to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tinsukia subject to the following conditions:-

(i) That the accused applicant shall co-operate with the trial and make himself available as and when required;

(ii) the accused applicant shall not try to influence any of the witnesses that may be involved in the instant case or tamper or hamper with the trial, in whatsoever manner.

**9.** In terms of the above, the instant Bail Application is allowed and disposed of.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**